

Natalie Goffe

Solicitor Advocate

2006

Education

Law with Management, LLB (Hons), South Bank University	1999 - 2002
Certificate in Legal Practice, Inns of Court School of Law	2002 - 2003
Masters in Legal Practice, University of Westminster	2009 - 2010



Employment

Trainee Solicitor, Franklins Solicitors	2003 - 2006
Solicitor and Solicitor Advocate, Dalton Holmes Gray Solicitors	2006 - 2011
Solicitor Advocate and Head of the Magistrates Court Department, Lawrence Solicitors	1998
Solicitor Advocate and Supervisor, Usmani King Solicitors	2012 - 2019
Higher Courts Advocate, Public Defender Service	2005

Profile

Natalie qualified as a Solicitor in 2006, became a duty solicitor in 2007 and in 2008 obtained her higher rights of audience in both criminal and civil proceedings. She has quickly acquired a wealth of experience dealing with a vast range of cases, from serious violence including murder, assisting an offender, money laundering, fraud, gang related shootings, large scale drug conspiracies and serious sexual offending.

Natalie is an effective and hardworking individual who commits herself to defending clients. She is a keen trial advocate and does not shy away from a challenge. Natalie's positive and proactive approach to casework, enable her to uncover vital points to support the defence case. Natalie is strongly motivated, thorough with her case preparation and takes the time out to examine every detail. She goes to the full extent when scrutinising the evidence.

Natalie's calm nature enables her to unravel arguments from different angles and to think outside of the box. She makes herself readily available for conferences and has an approach where she can put clients at ease and have as many conferences as necessary.



0203-334-4253



pdsclerks@justice.gov.uk

Notable Cases

R v F - Attempt to engage in sexual communication with a child on two social media platforms, which included interaction live-streamed with an activist group.

R v L - Allegation of a sexual assault on a family member, witnessed by two others. The case included a s41 application and a non-defendant bad character application.

R v N - Making indecent images of children, a forensic download of two devices.

R v F - The defendant was charged with attempting to incite a child to engage in sexual activity. The case involved the instruction of a digital forensic examiner to analyse the defendant's mobile phone.

R v O - Historic allegation of assault by penetration on a young complainant. The case involved cross examination of numerous family members.

R v M - The defendant was charged with allegations of rape, assault by penetration and sexual assault. There were multiple complainants, and the case involved issues of admissibility.

R v K - The defendant was charged with an historic allegation of sexual assault on a family member. An interesting feature of this case involved an entry in the complainant's medical records.

R v K - The defendant was charged with sexual assault, kidnap, false imprisonment and assault by beating. It was alleged that the complainant was dragged into a car, driven to an address and sexually assaulted. The defendant denied seeing the complainant on the relevant day and the defence pursued enquires regarding CCTV and an inspection of the complainant's mobile phone.

R v S - The defendant was charged with sexual assault on multiple complainants known to each other. The defendant denied the allegations and the case involved a thorough analysis of the various accounts provided by the complainants.