

Omagh Bombing Inquiry: Preliminary Hearing Transcript 30 July 2024

1	Tuesday, 30 July 2024	
2 (10.00am)		
3	Opening statement by LORD TURNBULL	
4	LORD TURNBULL: Good morning. I would like to welcome	
5	everyone to this, the first hearing of the independent	
6	public inquiry into the bombing which took place on	
7	Market Street here in this town on 15 August 1998.	
8	I will be hearing evidence in the course of this	
9	Inquiry as to what occurred on that date. I shall also	
10	have the opportunity of hearing directly from some of	
11	those who suffered the sudden and shocking loss of their	
12	loved ones, their family members and their friends, or	
13	those who suffered injury themselves.	
14	I would like to express my gratitude to all of	
15	those who are prepared to share their experiences of	
16	that day and to share an understanding of the grief,	
17	loss and harm which they have endured and to provide	
18	an insight into the lives of their loved ones.	
19	That evidence will comprise an important part of	
20	the Inquiry's investigation. Even before I hear that	
21	evidence, though, what it is publicly known already	
22	allows me to appreciate something of the magnitude of	
23	the atrocity. I know that the pain of bereavement and	
24	the trauma caused by the bombing was felt far beyond the	

community of Omagh. Those who were killed were from

25

- 1 Northern Ireland, the Republic of Ireland, England and
- 2 Spain.
- 3 I know that those killed and injured ranged in age
- 4 from those yet to be even born through babies, infants,
- 5 children, and young people with their whole lives ahead
- 6 of them, men and women in their prime, and those who
- 7 were looking forward to a time in which they could share
- 8 in the experiences of their adult children and
- 9 grandchildren.
- 10 I also know that the impact of this terrorist
- 11 attack spread beyond the death and destruction brought
- 12 to this community. The fact that such an outrageous
- 13 attack took place only a few short months after the
- 14 people of both Northern Ireland and the Republic of
- 15 Ireland had voted so comprehensively in support of the
- 16 Belfast Good Friday Agreement caused outrage and
- 17 consternation across national boundaries and across
- 18 continents.
- 19 Condemnation was expressed by the Prime Minister
- 20 of the United Kingdom and the Taoiseach of the Republic
- 21 of Ireland. Other world leaders, community leaders,
- 22 religious leaders and political leaders all joined with
- 23 them in expressing the unforgivable nature of what had
- 24 occurred.
- 25 In keeping with the sentiments expressed Taoiseach

- 1 on that occasion, I am very pleased to note the support
- 2 of the Government of Ireland for the work of this
- 3 Inquiry. In that regard, I would like to extend my
- 4 thanks to the Director General and the Deputy Political
- 5 Director of the Ireland, United Kingdom~& Americas
- 6 Division of the Department of Foreign Affairs within the
- 7 Government of Ireland for kindly offering to attend here
- 8 today.
- 9 Of course, almost 26 years have now passed since
- 10 the events of that day. However, the contact which
- 11 I and members of the Inquiry team have had with some of
- 12 those who were directly affected by the bombing has made
- 13 it plain to us that the trauma caused has been enduring
- 14 and continues to have a most powerful impact.
- 15 31 lives were lost, and life-changing and other
- serious injuries, both physical and mental, were cruelly
- inflicted on many others.
- 18 It is right, therefore, that the interests and
- 19 concerns of all of those who suffered the loss of loved
- 20 ones and those who suffered injury should be at the
- 21 heart of the work conducted by this Inquiry.
- 22 That statement is reflected in the approach which
- 23 the Inquiry decided to take to the appointment of those
- 24 bereaved and injured individuals who wished to be
- 25 designated as Core Participants.

- 1 As part of our recognition of the importance of
- 2 the Inquiry to those affected by the bombing, suitably
- 3 qualified and experienced staff will be available to
- 4 provide assistance when giving evidence or when
- 5 attending at the Inquiry hearings. In keeping with
- 6 this, the Inquiry will adopt a trauma-informed approach
- 7 in all of its contact with family members and others
- 8 affected.
- 9 But even with the legitimate interests of those
- 10 affected by the bombing held closely in mind, the
- 11 defining character of the Inquiry must be its
- 12 independence. It is by guiding its own path and through
- 13 the application of that quality of independence that the
- 14 Inquiry will be able to engage in a credible fashion
- with the essence of its purpose, which is to establish
- 16 whether there were reasonable steps which could have
- 17 been taken by UK state authorities to prevent the
- 18 bombing from occurring.
- 19 The Inquiry will undertake that task rigorously
- 20 and fearlessly. It will not allow itself to be
- 21 deflected from its purpose by the difficulties which may
- 22 lie in its way on account of the passage of time, or the
- 23 volume and complexity of materials it may be asked to
- 24 consider.
- 25 The public nature of the Inquiry's work will

- 1 provide me with an opportunity to exhibit that
- 2 commitment to persevere with determination.
- 3 Of course, some of the evidence and materials
- 4 which the Inquiry will assess may be of particular
- 5 sensitivity and it may be that, for reasons of national
- 6 security, it becomes necessary to hear some of that
- 7 evidence in private or in what are known as closed
- 8 sessions.
- 9 If that is so, I will undertake to ensure that any
- 10 evidence led in closed session is subject to the same
- 11 level of rigorous scrutiny as that led in open
- 12 proceedings. Insofar as it is possible to do so,
- 13 I shall also ensure that any evidence heard in closed
- 14 session is summarised or gisted, so that
- 15 Core Participants and the public are aware of the
- 16 central issues which the closed sessions are concerned
- 17 with.
- 18 I have touched already on the need for the
- 19 Inquiry's defining character to be its independence.
- 20 The value which the Inquiry attaches to its independence
- 21 means that it will not be amenable to influence from any
- 22 Government or from any state agency.
- 23 I undertake to conduct my task of chairing the
- work of the Inquiry with an open mind. That, of course,
- 25 means that I will assess the evidence which is heard

- 1 both fairly and reasonably. I will do so without any
- 2 preformed view or impression as to whether the atrocity
- 3 could or could not have been prevented. This is of
- 4 prime importance given that so much has been written and
- 5 reported about the bombing over the years which have
- 6 passed.
- 7 There have also, of course, been a number of other
- 8 investigations, inquiries and proceedings of one sort or
- 9 another. Some of what has been written or reported has
- 10 led to controversy, and various different and concerning
- 11 claims have been advanced over the years.
- 12 This Inquiry will have the benefit of taking
- 13 account of the work undertaken in some of the previous
- 14 investigations and proceedings.
- 15 Nevertheless, it is important to emphasise the
- 16 unique aspect of this Inquiry's jurisdiction and
- 17 function. The work of this Inquiry will comprise the
- 18 first occasion on which the question of whether the
- 19 bombing could have been prevented will be explored and
- 20 tested within the confines of an inquisitorial judicial
- 21 process, which will have the ability to exercise powers
- 22 of compulsion and recovery.
- 23 This exercise will be undertaken in an independent
- 24 and focused manner by Counsel to the Inquiry, and it
- 25 will, I am sure, benefit from the support and

- 1 co-operation of the highly experienced representatives
- 2 of the Core Participants.
- 3 The combined benefits of the process which is
- 4 engaged in under the Inquiries Act 2005 and the
- 5 opportunity for Core Participants to assist the Inquiry
- 6 in its work will, I believe, ensure that this Inquiry is
- 7 able to credibly and comprehensively address its
- 8 Terms of Reference.
- 9 Having said that, I do not underestimate the scale
- of the task which lies ahead. That is why I have sought
- 11 to emphasise the importance of the role which the
- 12 Core Participants have to play. The co-operation and
- 13 active assistance of each Core Participant will be
- 14 a prerequisite for the successful functioning of the
- 15 Inquiry.
- 16 I am sure that there will be a combined will to
- 17 see that the light which the evidence can still shine,
- 18 all of these years later, remains sufficient to ensure
- 19 that the truth becomes clear for all to observe.
- 20 Much of the work of the Inquiry will be undertaken
- 21 by Mr Paul Greaney KC. He has agreed to accept the
- 22 onerous and demanding task of Counsel to the Inquiry.
- 23 His expertise and experience, as demonstrated in many
- 24 similar proceedings to these, makes him a most valuable
- 25 resource for me to dependupon.

- 1 Today he appears with Mr John Rafferty from the
- 2 Inquiry counsel team.
- 3 In a moment I shall invite Mr Greaney to give
- 4 an update on the work of the Inquiry and the plans for
- 5 the coming months. In recognition of the enduring loss
- 6 caused by the atrocity which this Inquiry is tasked with
- 7 examining, I shall invite him to identify by name each
- 8 of those who lost their lives. Once he has done so,
- 9 I shall then invite all present to reflect on the
- 10 individual and combined tragedy of so much loss by
- joining me in a minute's silence.
- 12 Once Mr Greaney has completed his submissions,
- 13 I will invite each of the Core Participant
- 14 representatives to introduce themselves, to identify the
- 15 family group or other party for whom they appear, and to
- 16 present any short submissions or observations which they
- 17 may wish to offer.
- 18 Mr Greaney.
- 19 Opening remarks by MRGREANEY
- 20 MR GREANEY: Sir, thankyou.
- These are the names of those killed in the
- 22 bombing.
- 23 James Barker.
- 24 Fernando Blasco Baselga.
- 25 Geraldine Breslin.

1	Deborah-Anne Cartwright.
2	Gareth Conway.
3	Breda Devine.
4	Oran Doherty.
5	Aidan Gallagher.
6	Esther Gibson.
7	Mary Grimes.
8	Olive Hawkes.
9	Julia Hughes.
10	Brenda Logue.
11	Jolene Marlow.
12	Ann McCombe.
13	Brian McCrory.
14	Samantha McFarland.
15	Sean McGrath.
16	Sean McLaughlin.
17	Avril Monaghan, and her unborn twins.
18	Maura Monaghan.
19	Alan Radford.
20	Rocio Abad Ramos.
21	Elizabeth Rush.
22	Veda Short.
23	Philomena Skelton.
24	Brian White.
25	Frederick White.

- 1 Lorraine Wilson.
- 2 Sir, we will now observe one minute's silence, as
- 3 you indicated, and we invite those who are able to stand
- 4 to do so during that period.
- 5 (Pause for the minute's silence)
- 6 MR GREANEY: Sir, that concludes the minute's silence.
- 7 In what I say next, immediately next, I will make
- 8 reference to the circumstances of the bombing, and so
- 9 I will pause for a moment to allow anyone who wishes to
- 10 leave the room or to switch off the live feed to do so.
- 11 (Pause)
- 12 Sir, those we have just named and remembered were
- 13 killed as a result of events here in Omagh on Saturday,
- 14 15 August 1998. That afternoon, the centre of Omagh was
- busy with shoppers, with workers and tourists.
- 16 At 2.00 pm that day, dissident republican
- 17 terrorists drove a stolen Vauxhall Cavalier into the
- 18 town. At 2.20 pm they parked the car up on Market
- 19 Street and they walked away. The vehicle contained
- 20 a massive bomb.
- 21 Shortly after 3.00 pm the device was detonated and
- those we have named, men, women and children, and unborn
- 23 children, were killed by the explosion. Hundreds were
- 24 injured and countless lives were changed forever.
- 25 What was done that day in Omagh caused the biggest

- 1 single loss of life in the history of the Troubles, and
- 2 was, sir, as you have rightly described it, an atrocity.
- 3 As you said, sir, in your introductory remarks, those
- 4 that we have just remembered and their families must be
- 5 at the heart of your Inquiry, as must those who survived
- 6 and the community of Omagh more generally.
- 7 A process of commemoration and personal statements
- 8 early next year to mark the commencement of the
- 9 Inquiry's oral evidence hearings will enable us and in
- 10 particular you, sir, to learn about those killed and
- injured, and will place the deceased and their families
- 12 and others affected by the bombing where they deserve to
- 13 be, namely at the centre of your investigation.
- 14 We know that you consider such a process to be
- vital, as do we, the Inquiry team, and later in our
- submissions this morning we'll say more about what we
- 17 expect that process will involve.
- 18 Sir, you have invited us to provide an update on
- 19 the work of the Inquiry so far, and in doing so we'll
- 20 deal with the topics in the following order, so that
- 21 everyone can follow where we are at any point in time.
- 22 First, the scope of the Inquiry and the connected
- 23 topic of the engagement of the Republic of Ireland.
- Second, as we've just said, the commemorative and
- 25 personal statements hearings.

- 1 Third, the designation of Core Participants.
- 2 Fourth, disclosure of the documentation needed by
- 3 the Inquiry to fulfil its Terms of Reference.
- 4 Fifth, expert evidence.
- 5 Sixth, the issue of special advocates that has
- 6 been raised in written submissions.
- 7 Seventh, support for those engaging with the
- 8 Inquiry.
- 9 And eighth, and finally, the venue for subsequent
- 10 hearings after today.
- 11 First, then, scope and the engagement of the
- 12 Republic of Ireland.
- 13 This inquiry, as everyone knows, has arisen out of
- 14 the judgment of Mr Justice Horner, as he was then,
- 15 Lord Justice Horner as he is now, on the claim for
- 16 judicial review brought by Michael Gallagher, the father
- 17 of Aidan whom we mentioned a moment ago.
- 18 In the penultimate paragraph of his ruling on that
- 19 claim, the judge said this, and I quote:
- 20 "I am satisfied that grounds 2, 6, 7 and 9 when
- 21 considered separately or together give rise to plausible
- 22 arguments that there was a real prospect of preventing
- 23 the Omagh bombing. These grounds involve, inter alia,
- 24 the consideration of terrorist activity on both sides of
- 25 the border by prominent dissident terrorist republicans

- 1 leading up to the Omagh bomb. It will necessarily
- 2 involve the scrutiny of both OPEN and CLOSED material
- 3 obtained on both sides of the border. It is not [said
- 4 the judge] within my power to order any type of
- 5 investigation to take place in the Republic of Ireland
- 6 but there is a real advantage in an Article 2 compliant
- 7 investigation proceeding in the Republic of Ireland
- 8 simultaneously with one in Northern Ireland. Any
- 9 investigation will have to look specifically at the
- 10 issue of whether a more proactive campaign of
- disruption, especially if co-ordinated, north and south
- 12 of the border, had a real prospect of preventing the
- 13 Omagh bombing and whether, without the benefit of
- 14 hindsight, the potential advantages of taking a much
- more aggressive approach ... [towards] the suspected
- 16 terrorists outweighed the potential disadvantages
- inherent in such an approach."
- 18 Sir, it seems to us that two specific issues arise
- 19 out of that conclusion of the judge that it's necessary
- 20 for us to address at this early stage. One, is the
- 21 scope of this Inquiry's investigation limited to those
- 22 grounds upon which the claim for judicial review
- 23 succeeded, namely grounds 2, 6, 7 and 9? And, two, what
- 24 is the position so far as co-operation by the
- 25 Republic of Ireland is concerned?

- 1 As for the scope of your investigation, sir, some
- 2 of the Core Participants in their written submissions
- 3 have expressed a wish to be reassured that you do not
- 4 consider this Inquiry constrained to examine only the
- 5 grounds regarded as plausible by Mr Justice Horner, and
- 6 were able to provide that reassurance and to do so
- 7 unequivocally.
- 8 The Inquiry's investigation is not limited to
- 9 those grounds upon which Michael Gallagher's claim for
- 10 judicial review succeeded.
- 11 The purpose of your inquiry is set out in
- 12 paragraph 1 of its Terms of Reference and the purpose is
- 13 stated to be this:
- 14 "To investigate whether the car bomb detonated in
- 15 Omagh, County Tyrone on 15th August 1998 in which
- 16 29 people and two unborn children were killed could have
- 17 been prevented by UK state authorities, with particular
- 18 attention to the matters considered by Horner J in the
- 19 application for judicial review..."
- 20 As that paragraph makes clear, the Inquiry is not
- 21 constrained by that which the court in the judicial
- 22 review proceedings regarded as giving rise to plausible
- 23 arguments about the preventability of the bombing. This
- 24 Inquiry's investigation will range much wider than that,
- 25 as the balance of the Terms of Reference make clear.

- 1 In short, sir, your investigation will consider
- 2 all of the grounds considered by Mr Justice Horner,
- 3 whether accepted or not in his ruling, and other matters
- 4 too.
- 5 Sir, we regard it as important that we should read
- 6 out the Terms of Reference so that they are publicly
- 7 understood and so that the breadth of your investigation
- 8 is similarly understood.
- 9 Having set out the purpose of the Inquiry in the
- 10 terms that we've stated, the Terms of Reference provide
- as follows, under the heading "Scope":
- 12 "To the extent necessary to investigate issues
- 13 relating to whether the Omagh Bombing could have been
- 14 prevented by UK state authorities, the Inquiry's
- 15 investigations will include consideration, individually
- and collectively, of the following matters:
- 17 "a. As background and context to the
- 18 Omagh Bombing, the assessment by UK state authorities of
- 19 the threat posed in Northern Ireland by dissident
- 20 republican terrorists from 1st December 1997 to the date
- 21 of the Omagh Bombing. This shall include consideration
- of any change in the assessment following the
- 23 Belfast Agreement on 10th April 1998.
- "b. The adequacy of the measures taken by UK
- 25 state authorities, including the police, security forces

- 1 and Intelligence and Security Agencies, to disrupt those
- 2 dissident republican terrorists who had been involved in
- 3 terrorist attacks or attempted terrorist attacks in the
- 4 period from 1st December 1997 to the Omagh Bombing.
- 5 This shall include consideration of any change in the
- 6 measures used or approach taken by UK state authorities
- 7 following the Belfast Agreement on 10 April 1998.
- 8 "c. The adequacy of the policies and practices of
- 9 UK state authorities, including the police, security
- 10 forces and Intelligence and Security Agencies, in
- sharing intelligence between themselves and with the
- 12 authorities in the Republic of Ireland on the activities
- 13 of those dissident republican terrorists who had been
- involved in terrorist attacks or attempted terrorist
- 15 attacks in that period from 1st December 1997 to the
- 16 Omagh Bombing.
- 17 "d. The allegation made by Norman Baxter (former
- 18 Senior Investigating Officer in the investigation into
- 19 the Omagh Bombing) in the course of his evidence to the
- 20 Northern Ireland Affairs Select Committee on
- 21 11 November 2009, that police investigators into
- 22 previous attacks in Moira ... Portadown ... Banbridge
- 23 ... and Lisburn ... did not have access to intelligence
- 24 materials which may reasonably have enabled them to
- 25 disrupt the activities of dissident republican

- 1 terrorists prior to the Omagh Bombing.
- 2 "e. Information relating to dissident republican
- 3 terrorist activity said to have been passed to police
- 4 between June and August 1998 by an alleged British
- 5 security forces agent known by the name of Kevin Fulton
- 6 and whether that might reasonably have enabled UK state
- 7 authorities, whether on its own or in conjunction with
- 8 other information, to disrupt dissident republican
- 9 terrorists engaged in the planning and preparation of
- 10 the Omagh Bombing;
- 11 "f. The nature of the intelligence said to have
- 12 been obtained by the UK Government's Communication
- 13 Headquarters (GCHQ), including from alleged vehicle and
- 14 telephone monitoring, of dissident republican terrorists
- involved in the planning, preparation and conduct of the
- 16 Omagh Bombing and other earlier attacks.
- 17 "g. The adequacy of the analysis and handling of
- 18 and response by UK state authorities to any intelligence
- 19 obtained by GCHQ, including from vehicle and telephone
- 20 monitoring, of dissident republican terrorists involved
- 21 in the planning, preparation and/or conduct of the
- 22 Omagh Bombing and other earlier attacks.
- 23 "h. The extent and adequacy of steps taken by UK
- 24 state authorities to track and analyse the mobile
- 25 telephone usage by those suspected to be involved in

- 1 dissident republican terrorist attacks before the
- 2 Omagh Bombing and whether that might reasonably have
- 3 enabled UK state authorities to disrupt dissident
- 4 republican terrorists engaged in the planning,
- 5 preparation and/or conduct of the Omagh Bombing."
- 6 Just pausing for one moment, we'll have more to
- 7 say about that particular paragraph, 2.h, when we
- 8 address the topic of expert evidence.
- 9 To finish dealing with the Terms of Reference:
- 10 "i. Any other matters which are relevant to
- 11 whether the Omagh Bombing on 15th August 1998 could have
- 12 been prevented by UK state authorities. To the extent
- 13 it is relevant to the issue of preventability by UK
- 14 state authorities, this may include information sharing
- 15 and investigations ..."
- 16 That is the breadth, sir, of your investigation.
- 17 Those Terms of Reference have now been
- 18 supplemented by a detailed List of Issues. That List of
- 19 Issues was shared with Core Participants on 16 July of
- 20 this year, and this morning it has been published on the
- 21 Inquiry's website. The List of Issues is too lengthy to
- 22 rehearse now, but we invite anyone interested in the
- 23 work of the Inquiry to read that list infull. We
- 24 believe that the List of Issues demonstrates the
- 25 Inquiry's intention to leave no stone unturned in

- 1 investigating whether the bombing could have been
- 2 prevented by UK state authorities, but we emphasise that
- 3 the List of Issues is provisional, in the sense that it
- 4 will be kept under review, so that if the investigation
- 5 of some of additional issue becomes necessary in order
- 6 to fulfil the Inquiry's Terms of Reference, it will be
- 7 investigated.
- 8 Sir, we turn next to the connected topic of the
- 9 participation of the Republic of Ireland.
- 10 That topic is connected with the scope of your
- 11 inquiry, because investigation fully of the question of
- whether the bombing could have been prevented by UK
- 13 state authorities undoubtedly requires the assistance of
- 14 the Government of Ireland. That is because it is highly
- 15 likely, if not inevitable, that the state authorities
- 16 within the Republic of Ireland hold evidence and/or
- 17 information bearing upon the Inquiry's
- 18 Terms of Reference.
- 19 Your powers, as the chairman of an inquiry under
- 20 the Inquiries Act 2005 ("the Act") and the Inquiry Rules
- 21 2006 ("the Rules"), do not extend beyond the borders of
- the United Kingdom, and so obtaining the material held
- 23 by the Government of Ireland and securing access to any
- 24 citizen of the Republic of Ireland that we wish to give
- 25 evidence requires the co-operation of the Irish state.

- 1 In order to secure that co-operation, the Inquiry
- 2 team has met with senior officials of the Government of
- 3 Ireland on several occasions. The discussions that have
- 4 taken place have been positive, and during them the
- 5 Government of Ireland has expressed a strong desire to
- 6 co-operate fully with the Inquiry.
- 7 The Inquiry team is grateful to the Government of
- 8 Ireland for its positive engagement with the Inquiry
- 9 team. The challenge is to see the engagement that has
- 10 occurred to date translate into meaningful engagement
- 11 with the Inquiry's processes, both leading up to and
- during the course of the Inquiry's oral evidence
- 13 hearings.
- 14 And, sir, in describing that challenge, we
- 15 emphasise that the Inquiry team does not doubt that the
- 16 Government of Ireland has a strong commitment to
- achieving that vital aim.
- 18 Initial meetings between the Inquiry team and
- 19 senior officials of the Government of Ireland took place
- 20 on 13 November of last year, along with
- 21 18 December 2023. So those are dates even before the
- 22 Inquiry had been formally established.
- 23 The purpose of those early meetings was to begin
- 24 a dialogue, recognising the important role that the
- 25 Irish state must play in this Inquiry.

- 1 A third meeting took place on 1 March of this
- 2 year, just over a week after the date of establishment
- 3 of the Inquiry. The purpose of that third meeting was
- 4 to enable the Inquiry team to discuss the Inquiry's
- 5 Terms of Reference, which had been published on
- 6 21 February, with the Government of Ireland and to begin
- 7 to establish ways of working that would enable the
- 8 Inquiry to secure the evidence and information it needed
- 9 from the Republic of Ireland.
- 10 Prior to this meeting, the then Taoiseach,
- 11 Tánaiste and Justice Minister all indicated a desire to
- 12 assist the Inquiry to the fullest extent possible, and
- 13 those expressions of commitment were, of course,
- 14 welcomed by your team, sir, and by you.
- 15 At that meeting the Inquiry team relayed to the
- officials of the Government of Ireland that you, the
- 17 chairman, regarded active participation in the Inquiry
- 18 by the Republic of Ireland as being important, and
- 19 important in the highest degree. The discussion at that
- 20 meeting was wide-ranging, covering, for example, details
- 21 about the Terms of Reference, access to materials and
- the provision of witness evidence.
- 23 Furthermore, the Inquiry team communicated to the
- officials an invitation by you, sir, to the Government
- 25 of Ireland to apply for Core Participant status,

- 1 a status that we will say more about in due course.
- 2 On 5 March of this year, following on from that
- 3 meeting, the Solicitor to the Inquiry, Tim Suter, who
- 4 sits to my right, wrote to the Government of Ireland.
- 5 That letter repeated, sir, your invitation to the
- 6 Government of Ireland to seek designation as
- 7 a Core Participant in the Inquiry. The letter stated,
- 8 and again I quote:
- 9 "The role of the Government of Ireland in the
- 10 Inquiry is a matter of the highest importance to the
- 11 Chairman. It is central to the ability of the Inquiry
- 12 to conduct a thorough investigation into all the issues
- 13 within its Terms of Reference, in particular
- intelligence sharing about the threat posed by dissident
- 15 republicans between the UK and Ireland prior to the
- 16 tragic events in Omagh.
- 17 "Moreover [the letter continued], the Chairman
- 18 considers that the trust and confidence of the bereaved
- 19 families in the Inquiry and that of the survivors, the
- 20 broader public on both sides of the Irish border and
- 21 beyond, requires participation in and full co-operation
- 22 by the Government of Ireland with the Inquiry." [as
- 23 read]
- 24 The Government of Ireland replied to that letter
- on 25 April. It did so by saying this:

- 1 "At the outset, let me restate the Government's
- 2 commitment to ensuring that there is nothing unanswered
- 3 in this jurisdiction at the end of the UK inquiry." [as
- 4 read]
- 5 Said the Government of Ireland's letter:
- 6 "We will assist the Inquiry to the greatest extent
- 7 possible within the law." [as read]
- 8 The letter went on to describe the invitation for
- 9 another sovereign state to seek Core Participant status
- 10 as "novel" and stated that that invitation would take
- 11 time to consider. The letter addressed the role of the
- 12 Republic of Ireland in providing documents and other
- 13 evidence in this way:
- "We have taken [said the letter] a number of
- 15 exceptional measures in this jurisdiction to enable
- 16 cross-border co-operation in various legacy processes,
- including inquests, police investigations and reviews.
- 18 That is a clear sign of our desire to help victims and
- 19 families. We will approach the issue of being a
- 20 material provider in the same positive spirit but, as
- 21 you note, it will require further discussion between
- 22 us." [as read]
- 23 So ended that letter.
- 24 Sir, we will return to the position of the
- 25 Government of Ireland in a moment, but first we should

- 1 mention connectedly that on 5 June of this year the
- 2 Inquiry team met with Assistant Commissioner
- 3 Michael McElgunn of the National Crime and Security
- 4 Intelligence Service, or the AGS. As with the meeting
- 5 with officials of the Government of Ireland, the
- 6 discussions were focused on how to maximise the
- 7 involvement and participation of the AGS in your
- 8 Inquiry. The investigation to the Irish state to seek
- 9 Core Participant status was extended to the AGS. And
- 10 may we say that that meeting with the Assistant
- 11 Commissioner was a constructive one.
- On 11 June, Mr Suter wrote to the Assistant
- 13 Commissioner to thank the AGS for its positive
- 14 engagement with the Inquiry. An invitation was extended
- 15 to that organisation, the AGS, to attend the Inquiry's
- 16 preliminary hearing today. A letter was sent in similar
- 17 terms to the Government of Ireland on 12 June.
- 18 Assistant Commissioner McElgunn replied on 24 June
- dealing with a number of issues and stating that the AGS
- 20 was "keen to assist the Inquiry in every way possible".
- 21 The Government of Ireland replied the same day,
- 22 requesting a further meeting to discuss the invitation
- 23 to attend the preliminary hearing and to better
- 24 understand the nature of the assistance that the Inquiry
- 25 was seeking and the mechanisms to facilitate working

- 1 together.
- 2 That meeting took place earlier this month, on
- 3 3 July, when the Inquiry team met with officials from
- 4 the Government of Ireland's Departments of Justice and
- 5 Foreign Affairs. How the Government's engagement with
- 6 the Inquiry may work in practice was discussed at that
- 7 meeting in general terms.
- 8 As has been widely reported, at a meeting on
- 9 July the Tánaiste and the Minister for Justice,
- 10 Helen McEntee, received formal approval from the
- 11 Irish Government for the provision of assistance to this
- 12 Inquiry add following that, on 11 July, so two days
- 13 later, the Inquiry team met with officials of the
- 14 Government of Ireland.
- 15 Sir, as you have identified in your introductory
- 16 remarks, senior official representatives of the Irish
- 17 state have attended today's preliminary hearing. They
- 18 are Ruairi De Burca, as you said, sir, the
- 19 Director General of the Ireland, UK & Americas Division
- 20 at the Department of Foreign Affairs, and
- 21 Robert Jackson, the deputy political director of the
- same division. Sir, they are seated immediately to your
- 23 left.
- 24 With your agreement, Ruairi De Burca will make a
- 25 public statement later in the proceedings, by which

- 1 I mean the proceedings today. Mr De Burca has helpfully
- 2 provided a draft of what he proposes to say to you, to
- 3 the families, to the survivors and to the public more
- 4 generally.
- 5 We have read that with care. We welcome the
- 6 commitments that are to be made by Mr De Burca in that
- 7 statement by the Irish state, as we know you do also.
- 8 We invite everyone here today or viewing these
- 9 proceedings elsewhere to listen carefully to what is to
- 10 be said today on behalf of the Government of Ireland.
- 11 We consider that it is encouraging and we hope others
- 12 will too.
- 13 The public statement of Ruairi De Burca, which
- we'll hear later this morning we expect, may, of course,
- 15 generate questions on the part of Core Participants. If
- 16 it does, that will be entirely understandable. However,
- 17 it's important that we should make clear that we know,
- 18 sir, that you will not expect Mr De Burca to answer
- 19 questions at today's hearing. Instead, our view, and we
- 20 know yours, sir, is that any such questions should be
- 21 notified to the Inquiry in writing within 14 days. The
- 22 Inquiry team will then endeavour to seek answers to
- 23 relevant queries as part of its ongoing dialogue with
- 24 the Government of Ireland.
- 25 May we make plain that we have no wish to exclude

- 1 Core Participants from being able to raise questions
- 2 that go to this important issue of co-operation by the
- 3 Irish state, but we consider, after careful reflection,
- 4 that those discussions are best managed at this stage by
- 5 a dialogue between the Inquiry and the Government of
- 6 Ireland.
- 7 Sir, as all will now, we hope, understand, much
- 8 work has been done by the Inquiry team, in particular
- 9 the Solicitor to the Inquiry, Tim Suter, and the
- 10 Secretary to the Inquiry, Sam Hartley, to engage and to
- 11 engage positively with the state authorities in the
- 12 Republic of Ireland.
- 13 The Inquiry is grateful for the co-operative and
- 14 constructive approach adopted by the Government of
- 15 Ireland and the AGS to its discussions with them. The
- 16 intention of the Inquiry, may we make plain, is to
- ensure, with emphasis on that word, that those
- 18 discussions now move into a practical phase in which
- 19 clear, written agreements are put in place to make
- 20 certain that the Inquiry has full access to any
- 21 potentially relevant materials held by state authorities
- 22 in the Republic of Ireland, and that it has the ability
- 23 to obtain statements and call witnesses to attend the
- 24 Inquiry to give evidence in person.
- 25 That is the Inquiry's commitment to what it seeks

- 1 to ensure.
- 2 The Core Participants can be assured that the
- 3 Inquiry team will be monitoring progress closely and
- 4 will expect meaningful progress within a reasonable time
- 5 frame. And, sir, we will keep Core Participants updated
- 6 as practical co-operation develops between the Inquiry
- 7 and the Government of Ireland.
- 8 Sir, we'll turn next to the second matter of
- 9 update, that being the commemorative and personal
- 10 statement hearings.
- 11 As we have emphasised already, we know that you
- 12 regard a process of commemorative and personal statement
- 13 hearings as vital, as indeed does your team, and that is
- 14 why those hearings will represent the first stage of the
- 15 Inquiry's oral evidence hearings.
- On 27 June, so just over a month ago now, the
- 17 Inquiry announced that the commemorative and personal
- 18 hearings will start on Monday, 27 January of next year.
- 19 They are expected to last for three to four weeks and
- 20 they will take place here in the Strule Arts Centre.
- 21 The Inquiry's announcement explained the following, and
- 22 I quote:
- 23 "The Commemorative and Personal Statement Hearings
- 24 will play a key role in the inquiry. They will allow
- 25 the Chairman to receive written statements and to hear

- 1 evidence directly from those most affected by the
- 2 bombing. It is a voluntary process. It will ensure the
- 3 families of the bereaved, those who were injured and the
- 4 community of Omagh can share their experiences."
- 5 The Inquiry team hosted an information day with
- 6 WAVE Trauma Centre about whom we'll have more to say
- 7 shortly, here at Omagh on 16 July, so two weeks ago.
- 8 That event provided an opportunity for those who may not
- 9 otherwise know about the Inquiry or who had not engaged
- with it to date to meet members of the Inquiry team. We
- 11 hope and moreover we believe that those who attended the
- 12 two sessions that day found the experience a valuable
- one. The Inquiry team is open to the prospect of
- 14 a further information day and it encourages anyone who
- 15 considers that would be of value to contact Mr Suter via
- 16 the Inquiry website.
- 17 The web address for the Inquiry's website is
- 18 https://omagh.independent-inquiry.uk, or alternatively
- 19 our website can be found by typing the phrase
- 20 "Omagh Bombing Inquiry" into a search engine.
- 21 Available on the Inquiry's website is an explainer
- document, along with a protocol that sets out how anyone
- 23 who wishes to contribute to the commemorative and
- 24 personal statement hearings can do so. Whilst there is
- 25 no requirement to contribute, we would encourage anyone

- 1 who wishes to share their experiences of the bombing to
- 2 contact the Inquiry expressing their wish to do so. And
- 3 may we add that we do not underestimate the courage that
- 4 will require.
- 5 Whilst no substitute for reading the source
- 6 material available on the Inquiry's website, there are
- 7 a number of basic features of the commemorative and
- 8 personal statement hearings that we will set out now
- 9 with the aim, we hope, of being helpful.
- 10 That hearing, starting early next year, will have
- 11 two purposes.
- 12 The first purpose is to commemorate publicly each
- person who was killed in the bombing. This will take
- 14 the form of pen portraits in order to explain who each
- person who died was in life and to recognise their
- 16 individuality. It will allow family members to set out
- 17 the impact of the death of their loved one.
- 18 The second purpose is to hear personal statements
- 19 from those who were injured in or were directly affected
- 20 by the bombing. This will recognise the wider impact of
- 21 the atrocity on the community of Omagh and, indeed,
- 22 beyond.
- 23 Sir, to deal with the mechanics of this, you have
- 24 requested that family members or their legal
- 25 representatives inform Tim Suter, the Solicitor to the

- 1 Inquiry, by 4.00 pm on 9 September this year of certain
- 2 particulars in respect of the person that they wish to
- 3 commemorate. And you have further requested that any
- 4 pen portrait evidence is submitted by 4.00 pm on
- 5 1 November.
- 6 It is important to emphasise, as the Inquiry's
- 7 announcement did, that this is intended to be
- 8 an entirely voluntary process. There is no requirement
- 9 or even expectation that a bereaved family should
- provide a pen portrait, and may we be clear that no
- 11 reasons or explanation will be sought if a family
- 12 chooses not to do so. It is entirely a matter for each
- 13 family to decide.
- 14 You, sir, have further requested that any
- individual wishing to provide a personal statement
- should inform the Solicitor to the Inquiry of their wish
- to do so by 4.00 pm on 9 September and provide certain
- 18 particulars. The Inquiry will then review all material
- 19 received and, provided the witness has relevant evidence
- 20 to give, will issue a written request accompanied at
- 21 that stage by further guidance. You have requested,
- 22 sir, that any witness who receives a written request of
- 23 that type should submit their personal statement by
- 24 4.00 pm on Friday, 1 November.
- 25 Sir, it is important if we are to maintain the

- 1 progress we wish to make that that timetable should be
- 2 adhered to, but the Solicitor to the Inquiry and his
- 3 team will aim to assist anyone who experiences
- 4 difficulties or challenges with the process or with the
- 5 timetable.
- 6 Next, update topic 3, the designation of
- 7 Core Participants.
- 8 Rule 5(1) of the Rules that we have mentioned
- 9 already provides that:
- "... [you] chairman may designate a person as
- 11 a core participant at any time during the course of the
- 12 inquiry, provided that person consents to being so
- 13 designated."
- 14 Rule 5(2) provides that:
- "In deciding whether to designate a person as
- 16 a core participant, [you] must in particular consider
- 17 whether --
- 18 "(a) the person played, or may have played,
- 19 a direct and significant role in relation to the matters
- 20 to the inquiry relates;
- "(b) the person has a significant interest in
- 22 an important aspect of the matters to which the inquiry
- 23 relates; or
- "(c) the person may be subject to explicit or
- 25 significant criticism during the inquiry proceedings or

- 1 in the report, or in any interim report."
- 2 You must, of course, act fairly at all times, but,
- 3 sir, the procedure and conduct of the Inquiry is
- 4 a matter for you to decide. You have a wide discretion
- 5 to decide who to designate as a Core Participant. And
- 6 furthermore, and importantly, irrespective of whether
- 7 someone is a Core Participant, they may still be
- 8 a witness to the Inquiry and will, if that is the case,
- 9 be supported by the Inquiry team to enable them to
- 10 participate fully in that capacity as witness in the
- 11 evidential hearings.
- On 26 February of this year, the Inquiry published
- 13 its protocol on applications for Core Participant
- 14 status. The protocol involved a process of
- applications, with the ability of unsuccessful
- applicants to renew, followed by a final determination
- by you, sir.
- 18 The Inquiry received, as was to be expected,
- 19 a number of applications for Core Participant status.
- 20 In determining each application you followed the
- 21 procedure set out in the protocol that had been
- 22 published and had regard to the factors in Rule 5(2) in
- 23 exercising your discretion whether to designate that
- 24 applicant.
- 25 In the result, some applications were successful

- 1 and some were unsuccessful. The Inquiry has published
- 2 lists of those falling into each category on its
- 3 website.
- 4 Of those applicants who were unsuccessful, one has
- 5 applied for leave to apply for judicial review. That is
- 6 Peter Keeley, also known as Kevin Fulton, to whom we
- 7 made reference earlier when dealing with the
- 8 Terms of Reference. You, sir, oppose his application
- 9 for judicial review.
- 10 Mr Justice Humphreys has given directions, and
- 11 those directions include that matter being listed for
- what is known as a rolled-up hearing on 3 September this
- 13 year, and, sir, we will say no more about that
- 14 application at this stage.
- 15 Finally under this heading that deals with
- 16 Core Participant designation, you have made several
- awards, pursuant to section 40 of the Act, for certain
- 18 Core Participants to have legal representation at the
- 19 public expense. Those awards are managed in accordance
- 20 with the Inquiry's cost protocol and that protocol,
- 21 along with the other protocols that we have mentioned
- 22 already, is available on the Inquiry's website.
- 23 Sir, we consider that's all we need to say at this
- 24 hearing about the designation of Core Participants.
- 25 We'll turn next to the issue of disclosure, so topic 4.

- 1 By "disclosure", a term that is familiar to all
- 2 lawyers but perhaps not to every member of the public,
- 3 by "disclosure" we mean the process by which the Inquiry
- 4 ensures that it receives all of the documentation that
- 5 it needs to fulfil its Terms of Reference, including
- 6 material which is regarded as sensitive on national
- 7 security or other public interest grounds, which is
- 8 sometimes described as "closed material".
- 9 Rule 9 of the Rules provides that: one, the
- 10 chairman of an inquiry must send a written request for
- 11 a written statement to any person from whom he proposes
- 12 to take evidence; two, the chairman must send a written
- request to any person that he wishes to produce any
- document or any other thing; three, the chairman may
- 15 make a written request for further evidence, being
- 16 either a written statement or oral evidence; and, four,
- 17 any request for a written statement must include
- 18 a description of the matters or issues to be covered in
- 19 that statement.
- 20 Sir, it is important to emphasise that you have
- 21 powers to enforce such requests and we know that you
- 22 will not hesitate to utilise those powers if that proves
- 23 to be necessary.
- To date, sir, you have issued Rule 9 requests for
- 25 evidence and information to a significant number of

- 1 organisations. Sir, we'll summarise the effect of those
- 2 requests in fairly short order in the order in which
- 3 those requests were made in a moment. Before we do so,
- 4 it is important to emphasise that they represent
- 5 initial -- with that word underlined, "initial" --
- 6 requests.
- 7 The majority of the requests made to date have
- 8 been focused on ensuring that potentially relevant
- 9 materials are identified, catalogued and preserved.
- 10 More detailed Rule 9 requests posing questions with
- 11 greater specificity will be issued as the Inquiry's work
- 12 develops. It is likely that this will include requests
- 13 for organisations to provide corporate statements on key
- 14 issues and to exhibit materials. We should emphasise
- 15 that the challenge of identifying, reviewing and
- 16 disclosing relevant materials is significant. The
- 17 Inquiry team expects all organisations and individuals
- 18 who receive Rule 9 requests to recognise that reality,
- 19 and we expect all to adopt a co-operative, constructive
- and focused approach to the provision of materials to
- 21 the Inquiry, and frankly nothing less, sir, will be
- acceptable to you or your team.
- 23 All of those requests to provide information to
- the Inquiry, in particular UK state organisations and
- 25 agencies, must ensure that they are properly resourced

- 1 to carry out searches for materials and that they meet
- 2 the deadlines set by the Inquiry.
- 3 Sir, your team will not hesitate to invite you to
- 4 use your statutory powers to require the production of
- 5 materials where that is thought to be necessary and
- 6 explain publicly any issues that arise associated with
- 7 the Inquiry's efforts to secure any such materials.
- 8 Sir, we turn, then, to the requests that have been
- 9 made to date under Rule 9. They include requests of the
- 10 following organisations: the
- 11 Police Service of Northern Ireland ("PSNI") -- and as I
- indicated, I'm going to deal with these requests not in
- order of importance but in the order in which they were
- 14 delivered to the relevant organisations.
- 15 PSNI was issued with a Rule 9 request on 21 March
- of this year. It was asked to search and catalogue
- 17 materials that may touch on the scope of the
- 18 Terms of Reference. Detailed questions were also asked
- 19 for searches to be undertaken to locate the RUC threat
- 20 book for Omagh. We have also supports requested access
- 21 to any materials from the claim for judicial review
- 22 brought by Michael Gallagher, reports into the
- 23 Omagh Bombing criminal investigation, and the report and
- 24 or reports on cell site analysis prepared by
- 25 Lisa Purnell.

- 1 Your team, sir, has been assisted by a number of
- 2 meetings between officers and representatives of PSNI
- 3 and the Inquiry Secretary, Deputy Secretary and
- 4 Solicitor. This has included two meetings at PSNI
- 5 headquarters, those taking place on 25 March and 19 June
- 6 of this year, which meetings were discussed to discuss
- 7 ways of working and further meetings to inspect some
- 8 materials on site.
- 9 PSNI committed in those meetings to work
- 10 constructively and positively with the Inquiry and to
- 11 ensure that adequate resource is committed to respond to
- 12 the requests that are made.
- 13 In written submissions that it has prepared and
- served, sir, on you for the purposes of today's hearing,
- 15 PSNI has explained the dedicated team of police
- officers, none of whom we're told was involved in
- 17 earlier investigations or proceedings, and lawyers that
- 18 it has put together in order to service the work of the
- 19 Inquiry. PSNI has explained the phases of its intended
- 20 work and the challenges it faces or perceives that it
- 21 faces.
- 22 Sir, your team will work with PSNI with a view to
- 23 ensuring that those challenges do not delay the
- 24 important work of this Inquiry.
- 25 PSNI has now provided its first index of materials

- 1 to the Inquiry. This involved reviewing and indexing
- 2 24 files of non-sensitive materials compiled during the
- 3 judicial review proceedings to which we've referred on
- 4 a number of occasions.
- 5 A 68-page index of materials has been supplied to
- 6 the Inquiry. That represents phase 1 of four phases of
- 7 work that PSNI intends to undertake.
- 8 Phase 2, which involves a review of the material
- 9 held in relation to the criminal investigation into the
- 10 bombing, has commenced. Much of the material, sadly, is
- 11 held in hard copy, and we're told it comprises about
- 12 230,000 pages. We recognise that phase 2 represents
- a substantial undertaking by PSNI. However, we believe
- 14 that PSNI understands and acknowledges that this work on
- 15 this and other phases must be properly resourced so that
- the work of the Inquiry is not delayed.
- 17 The Inquiry's team engagement with PSNI has been
- positive and we aim to ensure that it continues in that
- 19 spirit.
- 20 The office of the Police Ombudsman of
- 21 Northern Ireland ("PONI") was issued with a Rule 9
- 22 request on 25 March. The Ombudsman was asked to search
- 23 and catalogue materials that may touch on the Inquiry's
- 24 Terms of Reference. PONI notified the Inquiry on 8 May
- 25 that it had prepared an index of materials it holds. We

- 1 have, may we say, been greatly assisted in our work by
- 2 regular liaison with PONI, and the Inquiry thanks PONI
- 3 for its positive engagement with the Inquiry.
- 4 The Secretary and Solicitor to the Inquiry met
- 5 with the Ombudsman on February of this year and the
- 6 Inquiry has been granted access to review materials held
- 7 by PONI. The Inquiry has found this, in short, to be
- 8 a co-operative and constructive way to work.
- 9 In its submission, its written submission, for the
- 10 purposes of today's hearing, the Ombudsman has repeated
- 11 the commitment to support the work of the Inquiry to, as
- 12 it was put, the fullest extent possible and, sir, we
- 13 know that you will welcome that commitment.
- 14 Sir, may I just pause for one moment.
- 15 (Pause)
- 16 Sir, I'm going to deal with the next two Rule 9
- 17 requests that have been made and then, for the benefit
- of the shorthand writer, I'm going to request a short
- 19 break.
- 20 Merseyside Police was issued with a Rule 9 request
- 21 on 26 March. This was a targeted Rule 9 request
- designed to request a copy of the report and any
- 23 underlying materials prepared by Deputy Chief Constable
- 24 Mike Tonge, or which he had access to.
- 25 Deputy Chief Constable Tonge was appointed by the

- 1 Northern Ireland Policing Board in May 2002 to provide
- 2 a report in relation to the then current state of the
- 3 police investigation into the Omagh Bombing.
- 4 Merseyside Police provided a substantive response
- 5 on 30 April and arrangements have now been made to
- 6 review the materials held. The Inquiry is grateful to
- 7 Merseyside Police for its prompt response.
- 8 The Ministry of Defence was issued with a Rule 9
- 9 request on 28 March. That department was asked to
- search and catalogue materials that may touch on the
- scope of the Inquiry's Terms of Reference. The Inquiry
- team was assisted in drafting that Rule 9 request by
- 13 a meeting with the Ministry of Defence in London and the
- 14 Inquiry's Secretary and Solicitor which took place on
- 15 10 March.
- 16 In the Rule 9 request the Ministry of Defence was
- asked to identify the following: (a) materials relating
- 18 to any military deployments to dissident republican
- 19 terrorist attacks between December 1997 and August 1998;
- 20 (b) the location of fixed military checkpoints, sun
- 21 cars(?) and other observation posts on the
- 22 Northern Ireland border in County Tyrone and around
- 23 Omagh between December 1997 and August 1998; (c) any
- 24 briefings or reports that were prepared into the
- 25 Omagh Bombing; and (d) any materials relating to the

- 1 Omagh threat book said to be used by the RUC.
- 2 After issuing that Rule 9 request, the Inquiry
- 3 Secretary and Solicitor also had the opportunity to meet
- 4 with an official who leads on the archiving and legacy
- 5 materials held by HQ 38th Brigade in Northern Ireland.
- 6 The Secretary and Solicitor to the Inquiry had
- 7 that meeting in Lisburn on 29 April of this year. The
- 8 meeting took place on the day of the official's
- 9 retirement from the Ministry of Defence and was designed
- to share his knowledge of the archives before he left
- 11 his post, and the Inquiry is grateful for that
- 12 assistance.
- 13 The Ministry of Defence provided a substantive
- 14 response to the Rule 9 request on 28 June and the
- 15 Inquiry is currently reviewing the indices that were
- 16 provided. Some of the department's material has been
- 17 digitised but it is clear the Inquiry will need to
- 18 undertake further work with the Ministry of Defence to
- 19 identify reasonable steps to identify key materials that
- 20 it holds.
- 21 Sir, as you will appreciate, there is a note being
- taken by a shorthand writer of what I am saying. That
- 23 is rather more difficult when it's just one person
- 24 speaking than when there is interaction between
- a witness and counsel, and I'm asked if we could have

- 1 a short break of no more than 15 minutes at this stage,
- 2 please.
- 3 LORD TURNBULL: We shall do that.
- 4 MR GREANEY: Thankyou.
- 5 (11.14am)
- 6 (A short break)
- 7 (11.33am)
- 8 LORD TURNBULL: Mr Greaney.
- 9 MR GREANEY: Sir, thank you. I'm going to return in
- a moment to deal with the balance of the Rule 9 requests
- that have been issued by the Inquiry, but first
- 12 I'm going to return to nearly where I started, and
- 13 that's because Mr Rafferty spotted that when I dealt
- 14 with the final paragraph of your Terms of Reference
- 15 I missed out some words, and the words that I missed out
- are important ones and so I wish to put that right.
- 17 The final paragraph of the Terms of Reference in
- 18 full reads as follows:
- 19 "Any other matters which are relevant to whether
- 20 the Omagh Bombing on 15th August 1998 could have been
- 21 prevented by UK state authorities. To the extent it is
- 22 relevant to the issue of preventability by UK state
- 23 authorities, this may include information sharing and
- 24 investigations with and by state authorities ..."
- Then these are the words I missed out:

- 1 "... in the Republic of Ireland."
- 2 So, sir, having put that right, I will continue
- 3 with the Rule 9 requests that have been issued.
- 4 LORD TURNBULL: Thank you.
- 5 MR GREANEY: The Northern Ireland Office ("NIO") was issued
- 6 with a Rule 9 request on 28 March. As with all key
- 7 material providers, the Inquiry Secretary and Solicitor
- 8 met with the NIO on 20 March in order to discuss the
- 9 likely contents of that request, how the Inquiry would
- 10 work and what our expectations are.
- 11 Like many organisations, the NIO was asked to
- 12 search and catalogue what it holds for materials that
- may touch on the scope of the Inquiry's
- 14 Terms of Reference.
- 15 In addition, the Northern Ireland Office was asked
- 16 to provide:
- 17 "(a) all the materials from the successful claim
- 18 for judicial review of Michael Gallagher, including not
- 19 only all OPEN but all CLOSED materials." [as read]
- 20 And by that, as we've indicated already, we mean
- 21 all materials which are regarded as sensitive on
- 22 national security or other public interest grounds. So
- 23 the request is not just for the open material but also
- 24 for any closed material held by the NIO.
- 25 "(b) any materials relating to documents,

- 1 correspondence or other materials raised by bereaved
- 2 families or survivors about missed opportunities to
- 3 prevent the bombing;
- 4 "(c) any materials relating to the security
- 5 situation and threat levels in Northern Ireland between
- 6 1 December 1997 up to the Belfast Good Friday Agreement
- 7 and on to 15 August 1998; and
- 8 "(d) any material held about the Omagh threat book
- 9 used by RUC to record threats to public safety." [as
- 10 read]
- 11 A further Rule 9 request was issued to the NIO on
- 12 9 May requesting disclosure of the Bridger dossier, and
- on 12 June and 4 July the NIO then sent to the Inquiry
- 14 a number of schedules listing requested materials. The
- 15 closed materials from the judicial review proceedings to
- which we've made reference were provided to the Inquiry
- in mid-June of this year.
- 18 Counter Terrorism Policing Headquarters ("CTPHQ")
- 19 was issued with a Rule 9 request on 3 April. That
- 20 request was drafted for dissemination to the
- 21 Counter Terrorism Policing network in the UK to ensure
- 22 that any material held by the network touching on our
- 23 Terms of Reference was identified, catalogued and
- 24 preserved for the Inquiry and that checks were made to
- 25 the Omagh threat book.

- 1 CTPHQ was also asked to identify any material held
- 2 by the National Bomb Data Centre for bomb attacks in
- 3 Northern Ireland from late 1997 until August 1998.
- 4 CTPHQ has confirmed that it has conducted searches and
- 5 that neither it nor the wider Counter Terrorism Policing
- 6 network hold any information about the Omagh threat book
- 7 or any reports into the Omagh Bombing. An index has
- 8 been prepared of information held by the National Bomb
- 9 Data Centre. The Inquiry has met with CTPHQ
- 10 representatives in order to discuss that work.
- 11 Next, GCHQ, MI5 and MI6, which we will refer to
- 12 collectively as "UKIC", the United Kingdom intelligence
- 13 community.
- 14 UKIC was issued with a Rule 9 request on 23 April.
- 15 Members of the Inquiry team have also had the
- 16 opportunity to meet with representatives of UKIC on
- 17 a number of occasions. As with other organisations, in
- 18 its Rule 9 request UKIC was asked to search and
- 19 catalogue materials that may touch on, sir, your
- 20 Inquiry's Terms of Reference.
- 21 We have been assisted by meetings with
- 22 representatives from UKIC, and members of the Inquiry
- 23 team have had the opportunity to review some of the
- 24 closed materials held by those organisations. Plainly,
- 25 at this stage we are unable to go into any detail about

- 1 that material but we are grateful for the co-operation
- 2 and assistance we have received from UKIC. They have
- 3 acknowledged directly to the Inquiry team the importance
- 4 of the work of your Inquiry, and that approach has been
- 5 in evidence in the nature and level of engagement that
- 6 we have received to date.
- 7 In its written submissions for today's hearing,
- 8 His Majesty's Government, which represents the interests
- 9 of UKIC, the NOI, the MOD and the FCDO, to which we'll
- turn in a moment, in those written submissions
- 11 His Majesty's Government has stated that it "looks
- 12 forward to working with the Inquiry in order to provide
- 13 the Inquiry with the necessary documents". We, in turn,
- 14 look forward to ensuring that occurs.
- 15 The Investigatory Powers Commissioner's Office
- 16 ("IPCO") was issued with a Rule 9 request on 23 April
- 17 and an initial meeting was subsequently held with
- 18 members of the Inquiry legal team to discuss that
- 19 request.
- 20 As with other organisations, as everyone will now
- 21 appreciate, IPCO was asked to search and catalogue
- 22 materials that may touch on the scope of the Inquiry's
- 23 Terms of Reference. IPCO's Rule 9 request specifically
- 24 asked for any materials held in respect of the work of
- 25 Sir Peter Gibson relating to his 16 January 2009 report

- 1 entitled Review of Intercepted Intelligence in Relation
- 2 to the Omagh Bombing of 15 August 1998.
- 3 An initial index of materials has been received
- 4 from IPCO and a meeting is being arranged to discuss the
- 5 response and for members of the Inquiry team to conduct
- 6 an initial review of the material that has been
- 7 identified pursuant to the searches that IPCO has
- 8 carried out.
- 9 Next, the CAIN Archive. As most if not all will
- 10 know, the CAIN Archive is a publicly available website
- with a collection of information and source material on
- 12 the Troubles and politics within Northern Ireland. CAIN
- 13 was issued with a request for information on 23 April to
- 14 ensure that the Inquiry is made aware of any material
- 15 held by CAIN but which is not available on its website.
- 16 The director of CAIN replied the next day, as a result
- 17 of which we understand that any material relating to the
- 18 Omagh Bombing is available on the CAIN website, and that
- 19 material is being reviewed for relevance by the Inquiry
- 20 team.
- 21 The Intelligence and Security Committee of
- 22 Parliament ("ISC"), was issued with a Rule 9 request on
- 23 26 April. As a matter of strict law and procedure, the
- 24 ISC is not a Parliamentary Select Committee, but is
- 25 a committee of Parliament created by the Intelligence

- 1 Services Act 1994. It oversees the policies,
- 2 expenditure, administration and operations of MI5, MI6,
- 3 GCHQ and defence intelligence. As with other
- 4 organisations, the ISC was asked to search and catalogue
- 5 materials that may touch upon the scope of the Inquiry's
- 6 Terms of Reference.
- 7 The ISC's Rule 9 request specifically asked for
- 8 any materials held by the committee relating to: (a)
- 9 investigations by the ISC into the methods, techniques
- and policies used by UKIC to manage, monitor and
- 11 disseminate intelligence in relation to the security
- 12 situation and threat levels in Northern Ireland between
- 13 December 1997 and August 1998; and (b) the terrorist
- 14 attacks that took place in Northern Ireland from
- 15 late 1997 to August 1998.
- 16 Representatives of the ISC replied to that request
- on 22 May. They did so to indicate that it is highly
- 18 likely that the committee holds material within the
- 19 scope of the request but that further checks needed to
- 20 be made. An indication was given that material held may
- 21 be closed, so that is to say sensitive. Because the ISC
- is made up of Members of Parliament, that work has been
- 23 delayed by the UK general election. A written update
- 24 was received on 12 July. The ISC has not yet been
- 25 reconstituted following the general election but it is

- 1 anticipated that a substantive response will be provided
- 2 to the Inquiry by the ISC's representatives in August,
- 3 so that is to say the coming month.
- 4 The Cabinet Office supports the UK Prime Minister
- 5 in running Government. The Cabinet Office was issued
- 6 with a Rule 9 request on 29 April. In advance of that,
- 7 a meeting was held between the Inquiry Secretary,
- 8 Inquiry Solicitor and officials of the Cabinet Office.
- 9 As with other organisations, the Cabinet Office
- was asked to search and catalogue materials that may
- 11 touch on the scope of the Terms of Reference of the
- 12 Inquiry. The Cabinet Office was also asked to identify
- 13 potentially relevant materials, namely: (a) material
- 14 from the Joint Intelligence Organisation, including the
- 15 Joint Intelligence Committee; (b) material relating to
- 16 the security situation and threat levels in
- 17 Northern Ireland from December 1997 to August 1998,
- 18 taking into account the Belfast Good Friday Agreement;
- 19 and (c) it was made clear that this material was to
- 20 include briefings to or statements made by the
- 21 Cabinet Office, cabinet ministers or the Prime Minister
- 22 on the security situation and threat levels in
- 23 Northern Ireland during that period.
- 24 Sir, we have received an initial index of
- 25 materials from the Cabinet Office and a further response

- 1 is expected. A meeting is being arranged by your team
- 2 with the Cabinet Office in order to discuss the outcome
- 3 of the searches that have been undertaken.
- 4 I referred a short time ago to the FCDO, the
- 5 Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Office. The FCDO
- 6 was issued with a Rule 9 request on 30 April. Along
- 7 with other organisations, many of them, it was asked to
- 8 search and catalogue materials that may touch on the
- 9 scope of the Inquiry's Terms of Reference. The FCDO was
- 10 also asked to identify materials relating to: (a)
- 11 discussions, correspondence and other engagement between
- 12 the UK state and the Republic of Ireland about the
- 13 security situation in Northern Ireland in the six months
- 14 before the Belfast Good Friday Agreement and up to
- 16 other engagement between the UK state and the
- 17 Republic of Ireland about cross-border policing and
- 18 intelligence-gathering on dissident republican
- 19 terrorists; and (c) discussions, correspondence and
- 20 other engagement between the UK and the
- 21 Republic of Ireland about the Omagh Bombing.
- The Inquiry has received an initial index of
- 23 materials from the FCDO and a meeting has been held to
- 24 discuss the outcome of the searches and to review the
- 25 potentially responsive material that has been identified

- 1 by the FCDO to date.
- 2 His Majesty's Inspectorate of Constabulary and
- 3 Fire & Rescue Services ("HMICFRS") was issued with
- 4 a Rule 9 request on 17 May. It too was asked to search
- 5 and catalogue materials that may touch on the
- 6 Terms of Reference. Furthermore, the Rule 9 request
- 7 provided to HMICFRS specifically asked for the
- 8 following: (a) any materials held relating to HMICFRS
- 9 reports about the Omagh Bombing, the police
- 10 investigation prepared by Sir Dan Crompton in
- 11 October 2002 and David Blakey in May 2003; (b) any
- materials about the methods, techniques and policies
- 13 used by PSNI and/or the RUC to manage, monitor and
- 14 disseminate intelligence between December 1997 and
- 15 August 1998; and (c), any materials about intelligence
- 16 gathered or investigations carried out on the terrorist
- 17 attacks that took place in Northern Ireland from
- 18 late 1997 to August 1998.
- 19 An initial schedule was provided by HMICFRS on
- 20 20 June. This represented the material that had been
- 21 identified, reviewed and preserved to that date that may
- 22 be responsive to the Rule 9 request that had been
- 23 served. It's understood, following a further update
- received on 8 July, that since the receipt of that
- 25 schedule HMICFRS has now identified the reports of

- 1 Sir Dan Crompton and David Blakey and is continuing to
- 2 review additional material that may be responsive to the
- 3 Rule 9 request.
- 4 There have been a number of discussions, sir,
- 5 between your team and a representative of HMICFRS, and
- 6 a substantive response and index of any materials
- 7 identified is awaited.
- 8 The Policing Board of Northern Ireland ("PBNI")
- 9 was issued with a Rule 9 request on 28 May. It was also
- 10 asked to search and catalogue materials that may touch
- on the scope of the Terms of Reference. Its letterwas
- 12 in similar terms to the request served to HMICFRS, the
- 13 broad terms of which I set out a few moments ago.
- 14 A response was received from PBNI on 19 June,
- along with a schedule of documents that had been
- identified by that body as potentially responsive to the
- 17 Rule 9 request, as well as specific documents that had
- 18 been requested pursuant to that request.
- 19 Arrangements have now been made for members of the
- 20 Inquiry team to review the materials referred to in the
- 21 schedule during the month of August.
- 22 Sir, finally in relation to Rule 9 requests,
- 23 although there is still a little more to say about
- 24 disclosure.
- 25 Rule 9 requests were issued to the Imperial War

- 1 Museums, the National Army Museum and the Lancashire
- 2 Infantry Museum. Those requests were issued between 4
- 3 and 6 June. Those museums have been asked to provide
- 4 any materials held by them touching on the scope of the
- 5 Inquiry's Terms of Reference.
- 6 The Lancashire Infantry Museum was approached
- 7 because it's understood by the Inquiry that 1Queen's
- 8 Lancashire Regiment was based at Lisanelly Barracks
- 9 prior to and on the day of the Omagh Bombing.
- 10 A response was received from the museum on
- 11 24 June, addressing the format and scope of the material
- 12 it holds that may be responsive to the requests that
- 13 have been served. Sir, that is under consideration and
- 14 arrangements will be made to review the material held in
- 15 due course.
- 16 The Imperial War Museums and the National Army
- 17 Museum have been approached because both institutions
- 18 hold materials relating to the Troubles. A response is
- 19 awaited.
- 20 So, sir, as I suggested a moment ago, that
- 21 concludes that which it is appropriate to say at the
- 22 moment about Rule 9 requests, but there are, though,
- 23 a small number of other matters that relate to this
- 24 important topic of disclosure before we move on.
- 25 Section 21 of the Act empowers you as chairman to

- 1 compel the production of evidence by the issue of
- 2 a notice. That is one of the suite of powers available
- 3 to you that we mentioned earlier.
- 4 On 23 April this year you issued a section 21
- 5 notice to the BBC requiring that broadcaster to provide
- 6 to the Inquiry two Panorama broadcast programmes,
- 7 Panorama: Who Bombed Omagh?, which aired on
- 8 9 October 2000, and Panorama: Omagh: What the Police
- 9 Were Never Told, which we understand aired on
- 10 15 September 2008. Many here will be very familiar with
- 11 those broadcasts.
- 12 Those programmes were provided to the Inquiry in
- 13 response to the notice on 29 April.
- 14 Furthermore, on 26 June, you, sir, issued
- 15 a request to the BBC to provide to the Inquiry the
- 16 footage for a Spotlight documentary broadcast in
- 17 March 2023.
- 18 A man named David Rupert has asserted publicly
- 19 that he was an agent working for the FBI and MI5. He
- 20 gave evidence as a prosecution witness in the 2003 trial
- 21 of Michael McKevitt and his evidence was relied upon
- 22 heavily, so it seems to us, by the claimants in what
- 23 we'll describe as the Breslin case.
- 24 The Spotlight documentary focused on
- 25 David Rupert's involvement with the Real IRA, and his

- 1 purported role as an MI5 and FBI agent during the 1990s
- 2 and more specifically during the period of and following
- 3 the peace process.
- 4 A response from the BBC is expected by 19 August.
- 5 At present the request that has been made, sir, on your
- 6 behalf has not been issued as a formal section 21
- 7 notice, but we have no doubt that you will not hesitate
- 8 to use that power if that is required to secure that
- 9 material.
- 10 Finally, on substantive disclosure, as opposed to
- 11 procedural issues that we'll deal with at the final end
- 12 of this section, the Inquiry Secretary and Solicitor met
- 13 with the clerk to the Northern Ireland Affairs Committee
- 14 ("NIAC") and Speaker's Counsel on 19 April. That's
- 15 19 April of this year.
- 16 The purpose of meeting was to discuss what
- 17 material NIAC holds that is not publicly available
- 18 relating to its investigations into the Omagh Bombing,
- 19 particularly the evidence provided by Norman Baxter,
- 20 former senior investigating officer into the
- 21 investigation into the bombing, provided to a session of
- 22 NIAC on, as we understand it, 11 November 2009.
- 23 There are particular complexities involved in
- 24 obtaining evidence from a Parliamentary Select Committee
- 25 such as NIAC. The convention of parliamentary privilege

- 1 as a matter of law means that evidence cannot be called
- 2 in an inquiry or, indeed, in any other legal process
- 3 that questions the evidence given to a Select Committee,
- 4 because to do so, sir, would be a breach of Article 9 of
- 5 the Bill of Rights 1688.
- 6 The starting point is, therefore, with the
- 7 agreement of Speaker's Counsel, to identify the evidence
- 8 held by NIAC and ascertain whether that is available or
- 9 can be obtained from other sources. But as with some
- 10 evidence, this work has been delayed by the UK general
- 11 election and further enquiries are now being undertaken.
- 12 Sir, finally, under this heading, this fourth
- 13 update topic, and before turning to the issue of expert
- 14 evidence, we propose to explain just a little about the
- 15 mechanics by which the Inquiry will manage disclosure,
- 16 given that we expect to receive a very large volume of
- 17 material, and also how we propose to manage disclosure
- 18 from the Inquiry to Core Participants so that they can
- 19 play the role that they wish to and that we wish them to
- 20 play.
- 21 The Inquiry is finalising a procurement exercise
- 22 for an electronic disclosure database. The database
- 23 that will be used is called Relativity. It's a database
- 24 that has been used successfully by other inquiries,
- 25 indeed many other inquiries. The company that will

- 1 provide that database will be confirmed as soon as
- 2 possible, but it's likely that the database will be
- 3 available to the Inquiry next month, August.
- 4 Once the database has been set up, the Inquiry
- 5 will begin to request organisations in receipt of Rule 9
- 6 requests to provide digital copies of potentially
- 7 relevant materials to the Inquiry so that they can be
- 8 uploaded to the database. The Inquiry will expect all
- 9 materials to be provided unredacted, so without editing.
- The Inquiry itself will then assess whether the
- 11 materials are relevant and, if so, will apply
- 12 provisional redactions to the material. The material
- will then be shared back with the material provider for
- 14 a further review before it's disclosed to
- 15 Core Participants, and all involved in other inquiries
- 16 will recognise that approach as being entirely
- 17 conventional.
- 18 The Inquiry will require all material providers to
- 19 assist it with ensuring materials are digitised so that
- 20 they can be provided electronically and uploaded on to
- 21 the Relativity database. There will be huge problems if
- we are not provided with material in that way.
- The Inquiry will then set up a secure file
- 24 transfer platform that will allow material providers to
- 25 transfer scanned materials to itsecurely.

- 1 The Relativity database that we've just be
- 2 described, sir, will only be able to hold materials that
- 3 are open, which includes materials up to and including
- 4 those holding a UK Government security classification of
- 5 "OFFICIAL -- SENSITIVE". Due to the nature of the
- 6 Inquiry's work, it is inevitable that it will have to
- 7 handle more sensitive material with higher security
- 8 classifications. As a result, the Inquiry is
- 9 establishing a system that will allow it to hold this
- 10 more sensitive material. It isn't possible, sir, to go
- into more details about that in a public hearing, but
- what we can say is that the Inquiry team considers it is
- 13 essential that they have in place robust systems to
- 14 manage, review and analyse all potentially relevant
- 15 materials, whatever the security classification of the
- 16 material.
- 17 We anticipate that the first disclosures to
- 18 Core Participants on the Relativity database will take
- 19 place in the autumn. But that will depend on the
- 20 process for setting up the database and on receiving and
- 21 reviewing materials. And we add that before anyone can
- 22 receive access to the Relativity database, they will
- 23 have to sign and return a confidentiality undertaking to
- 24 the Inquiry. But again, we emphasise that that approach
- of requiring such undertakings to be signed is entirely

- 1 conventional in proceedings of this type.
- 2 Sir, before I move on, may I say that I do
- 3 appreciate that it has taken me some time to deal with
- 4 the topic of disclosure but we make no apology for that
- 5 because we consider that it is important for the public
- 6 and for the Core Participants and in particular the
- 7 bereaved families and survivors to understand the work
- 8 that we have been undertaking with you, sir, and on your
- 9 behalf, to ensure that we get the material that is
- 10 necessary to be able to answer the questions posed by
- 11 your Terms of Reference.
- We turn next to the fifth topic, expert evidence.
- On 26 June 2024, the Inquiry team provided
- 14 an update to Core Participants that set outyour
- 15 intention to instruct experts to provide you with
- 16 assistance in a number of areas.
- 17 These were the areas: one, the Omagh Bombing in
- 18 the context of the history of the Troubles and the peace
- 19 process; two, the development of dissident republican
- 20 terrorism with a particular focus on the period 1997
- 21 until 1998; three, the work of the Joint Intelligence
- 22 Committee; four, lawful powers available to the UK state
- 23 to disrupt, monitor and arrest individuals suspected of
- 24 involvement in acts of terrorism; and, five, the use of
- cell site technology, access to billing data and other

- 1 methods to analyse mobile telephone usage.
- 2 The Inquiry team, and in particular Mr Suter,
- 3 Mr Hartley and those working to them, has consulted
- 4 widely in order to identify appropriate experts. As
- 5 a result of that consultation, the following experts
- 6 have been identified and, moreover, have now been
- 7 instructed.
- 8 Professor Richard English has been instructed to
- 9 provide an overview report on the history of the
- 10 Troubles and the peace process. Professor English is
- 11 professor of politics at Queen's University Belfast,
- 12 where he is also director of the Senator George J
- 13 Mitchell Institute for Global Peace, Security and
- 14 Justice.
- 15 The research of Professor English focuses on the
- 16 history of political violence, terrorism and
- 17 nationalism, with a particular focus on Ireland and
- 18 Britain. Professor English is a fellow of the British
- 19 Academy, a member of the Royal Irish Academy, a fellow
- 20 of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, a member of Academia
- 21 Europaea, a fellow of the Royal Historical Society,
- 22 an honourable fellow of Keble College Oxford, a faculty
- 23 affiliate at the University of Chicago, and an honorary
- professor at the University of St Andrews. So he is the
- 25 expert in the first of those five areas.

- 1 Dr Jonathan F Morrison has been instructed to
- 2 provide a report on the development of dissident
- 3 republican terrorism, with a particular focus on the
- 4 period 1997 to 1998, so the second area I've identified.
- 5 Dr Morrison is the assistant professor in
- 6 criminology in the School of Law and Criminology at
- 7 Maynooth University in Ireland. He was the founding
- 8 director of the Terrorism and Extremism Research Centre
- 9 at the University of East London. Dr Morrison is also
- 10 the associate editor of two leading academic journals in
- 11 terrorism studies, Perspectives on Terrorism and
- 12 Behavioural Sciences of Terrorism and Political
- 13 Aggression.
- 14 Dr Morrison has a particular specialism in the
- origins, reasons and motivations for Irish dissident
- 16 republican terrorism and is the author of The Origins
- 17 and Rise of Dissident Irish Republicanism.
- 18 In relation to the third of the five areas,
- 19 Professor Michael Goodman has been instructed to provide
- 20 a report on the work of the Joint Intelligence
- 21 Committee. Professor Goodman is a professor of
- 22 intelligence and international affairs and the director
- 23 of the King's Centre for the Study of Intelligence.
- He is currently visiting professor at the
- 25 Norwegian Intelligence School and Sciences Po in Paris.

- 1 He has published widely in the field of intelligence
- 2 history, including The Official History of the Joint
- 3 Intelligence Committee: Volume 1: From the Approach of
- 4 the Second World War to the Suez Crisis, and he is the
- 5 official historian of the Joint Intelligence Committee,
- 6 the JIC.
- 7 In respect of that fourth area in which expert
- 8 assistance is needed, John Wadham has been instructed.
- 9 He has been instructed to provide a report on the legal
- 10 powers available to the UK to disrupt, monitor and
- 11 arrest individuals suspected of involvement in acts of
- 12 terrorism.
- John Wadham is currently the human rights adviser
- 14 to the Northern Ireland Policing Board, the Chair of the
- 15 UK's National Preventive Mechanism (overseeing the
- 16 systems for preventing ill-treatment in detention) and
- 17 a member of the Ministerial Independent Advisory Panel
- 18 on Deaths in Custody.
- 19 John Wadham is a qualified solicitor and was
- 20 previously director of Liberty, executive director of
- 21 INTERIGHTS, the Centre for Legal Protection of Human
- 22 Rights, general counsel for the Equality and Human
- 23 Rights Commission, and the deputy chair of the
- 24 Independent Police Complaints Commission.
- 25 May we add that in his role for a consultant for

- 1 the Northern Ireland Policing Board, John Wadham has no
- 2 involvement in legacy issues and has had no involvement
- 3 in any previous legal proceedings related to the
- 4 Omagh Bombing.
- 5 Those four experts are, as we've made plain, now
- 6 instructed, and I believe that the letters of
- 7 instruction have been circulated to Core Participants,
- 8 and Mr Suter confirms that.
- 9 The Inquiry has, as will be clear to all
- 10 listening, identified another area in which expert
- 11 evidence will, we judge, be needed.
- 12 The ability of UK state authorities to utilise the
- 13 technique of cell site analysis and to interpret call
- 14 data records, often called CDRs, in order to establish
- 15 patterns of telephonic significance in that period
- 16 from 1997 to 1998 is undoubtedly an issue of importance.
- 17 Indeed, it's the focus of paragraph 2.h of the
- 18 Terms of Reference, as we set out and emphasised as we
- 19 went through the Terms of Reference, and it's the focus
- 20 of a series of questions in the List of Issues.
- 21 Much work, sir, has been done by your team in
- 22 an attempt to identify an expert or experts able to
- 23 assist you in relation to this topic. That work has not
- reached its conclusion but, sir, you can be assured, as
- 25 can others listening, that once it has the

- 1 Core Participants will be updated.
- We make plain finally on the topic of expert
- 3 evidence that we do not regard the categories of expert
- 4 evidence as closed. It is entirely possible, as the
- 5 work of the Inquiry develops, that you will consider
- 6 that additional experts in additional areas need to be
- 7 instructed. In that regard, we have noted that, in
- 8 their written submissions on behalf of the bereaved
- 9 family and survivor Core Participants that they
- 10 represent, Mr Southey KC and Mr Foster suggest the
- instruction of, and we quote from them:
- "... experts who have specialist knowledge and
- 13 expertise in matters such as the security services and
- 14 the handling and dissemination of intelligence
- 15 information." [as read]
- 16 We have not discounted that suggestion and we
- 17 would welcome any assistance from them or anyone that
- 18 they are able to give. But it is too early in our work
- 19 to decide whether such an instruction is appropriate.
- 20 It is, however, worth noting that the
- 21 identification of experts in this area of intelligence
- 22 is not straightforward, and that is because the
- 23 experience of other public inquiries and inquests has
- 24 been that only former members of UKIC have the knowledge
- 25 necessary to express authoritative views of such issues.

- 1 However, that, it seems to us, is a bridge to be crossed
- 2 once reached, if it is.
- 3 We turn from experts to another issue that
- 4 Mr Southey and Mr Foster have raised in their helpful
- 5 written submissions, namely the potential instruction of
- 6 a special advocate or special advocates to represent the
- 7 interests of the bereaved family and survivor
- 8 Core Participants in your Inquiry.
- 9 Sir, it seems to us that not everyone listening to
- 10 understand will understand what a special advocate is,
- 11 so we will explain.
- 12 Special advocates, in simple and short terms, are
- 13 normally appointed by the law officers to represent the
- 14 interests of a party in proceedings from which that
- party and their legal representatives are excluded.
- 16 Their functions are to represent the interests of
- 17 a party by making written and oral submissions and
- 18 examining witnesses at hearings.
- 19 A special advocate is able to take instructions
- 20 from the party they are appointed to represent before
- 21 they review sensitive materials, but they are precluded
- 22 from having any contact with those they represent after
- 23 they have carried out their review. So essentially, as
- 24 we understand it, contact ends once they engage with the
- 25 sensitive materials.

- 1 The responsibility that a special advocate might
- 2 be instructed in public inquiry proceedings is without
- 3 legal controversy. In the Litvinenko Inquiry and in the
- 4 Manchester Arena Inquiry, each chairman concluded that
- 5 it might be possible as matter of law for a special
- 6 advocate to be appointed but each then declined to make
- 7 such an appointment, each taking the view that the role
- 8 of Counsel to the Inquiry in the inquisitorial process
- 9 meant that the appointment of special advocates was
- 10 neither necessary nor appropriate.
- 11 Recently, in the Independent Inquiry relating to
- 12 Afghanistan, the chairman concluded that it was not
- 13 necessary for him to resolve the question of principle
- 14 because he regarded it as neither necessary nor
- appropriate for special advocates to be appointed, in
- part because, as he put it, the appointment of special
- 17 advocates would duplicate the existing role and
- 18 functions of Counsel to the Inquiry and, moreover, would
- 19 involve unnecessary complication and cost.
- 20 Sir, it's worth noting the Inquiry team does not
- 21 believe, on the basis of its experience and researches,
- that a special advocate has ever been instructed in
- 23 a public inquiry, but we are welcome to being put right
- 24 about that.
- 25 Mr Southey and Mr Foster realistically acknowledge

- 1 that, as they've put it, it may be too early at this
- 2 stage to determine the special advocate issue when the
- 3 Inquiry is still getting to grips with the sensitive
- 4 material, and we agree with them.
- 5 Certainly it's far too early for you to hear
- 6 argument on that topic today, let alone to make
- 7 a ruling.
- 8 Sir, it's probably necessary for us, having said
- 9 what we've said, to say no more now than that we
- 10 understand that some Core Participants wish a special
- 11 advocate or special advocates to be instructed, to say
- 12 that we understand in general terms why that position is
- adopted and to acknowledge that at some stage before any
- 14 closed hearing takes place the issue will need to be
- resolved if the application is pursued. So, sir, in
- short, we felt it was important to make some of
- observations about the submissions helpfully made by
- 18 Mr Southey and Mr Foster, but, when it comes to it, for
- 19 today this is an issue to keep under review.
- We turn next to the issue of support, topic 7.
- The WAVE Trauma Centre in Omagh, as many here will
- 22 know and many watching and listening will know, provides
- 23 support and services to individuals bereaved, injured
- 24 and traumatised as a result of the Troubles. It
- 25 provides its services on a cross-community, fully

- 1 inclusive basis, providing what it describes as
- 2 an holistic model of care.
- 3 The Inquiry has engaged with the WAVE Trauma
- 4 Centre to provide those engaging with the Inquiry with
- 5 support and counselling services for the lead-up to this
- 6 hearing, and for the hearing today itself. Furthermore,
- 7 WAVE's premises in Omagh hosted the information day to
- 8 which we've referred, and its staff and counsellors were
- 9 on hand to support people throughout that day.
- 10 Their centre is open today showing a live stream
- of our proceedings, to allow those who wish to be
- supported whilst watching the proceedings, but do not
- wish to be physically present within the Arts Centre
- whilst the hearing is being conducted, to participate.
- 15 Here, at the Arts Centre, WAVE staff and
- 16 counsellors are on hand with access to private
- 17 consultation rooms for anyone attending the hearing who
- 18 needs support at anytime.
- 19 Sir, we know -- and, indeed, you have said as much
- 20 this morning -- of your commitment to supporting those
- 21 engaging with the Inquiry in a trauma-informed way, and
- 22 we know that commitment will continue throughout the
- 23 lifetime of the Inquiry.
- 24 In common with other services, the Inquiry team is
- 25 currently considering the best way to provide these

- 1 services after today's hearing and through the process,
- 2 and conduct of the commemorative and personal statement
- 3 hearings and beyond.
- 4 Sir, I'm told that the feed has gone down. May
- 5 I just consult with Mr Suter for a moment about the best
- 6 approach.
- 7 (Pause)
- 8 Sir, I'm going to ask that you just to remain for
- 9 one moment, if you wouldn't mind, whilst we receive
- an update from Mr Hartley, who I can see is probably
- sending us a message about whether a break to reinstate
- 12 the feed is or is not necessary.
- 13 (Pause)
- 14 Sir, I'm anxious that you're not just seated there
- whilst nothing is happening. I think, on balance, the
- 16 better course is that we do take a break, which I hope
- will be a short one, whilst the feed is reinstated.
- 18 Could I invite everyone not to go too far, because
- 19 usually these kind of issues are quickly resolved.
- 20 LORD TURNBULL: All right, Mr Greaney.
- 21 MR GREANEY: Thank you, sir.
- 22 (12.18pm)
- 23 (A short break)
- 24 (12.34pm)
- 25 MR GREANEY: Can I explain where we are with the live feed.

- 1 The position, as I understand it, is this: the
- 2 transcript can be maintained, and that is because the
- 3 transcriber has been provided with a link to the
- 4 webinar, so a public record of the proceedings presents
- 5 no problem.
- 6 Secondly, all Core Participants who have sought
- 7 a link to the webinar have been provided with that link
- 8 and they will be able to continue to view the
- 9 proceedings. Self-evidently anyone who is in the room
- 10 can follow the proceedings. The issue is that the
- 11 YouTube feed cannot currently be maintained. However,
- what will happen is that if we continue in due course
- 13 the proceedings will be uploaded to YouTube so there
- 14 will be a complete record of what has happened today,
- and in those circumstances it seems to us that the
- 16 requirements of open justice and the requirements of
- 17 public access in section 18 of the Act will be satisfied
- 18 if we continue now.
- 19 LORD TURNBULL: Yes, I'm content with that Mr Greaney, thank
- 20 you.
- 21 MR GREANEY: Thank you, sir.
- 22 So I turn to the final topic on my list of
- 23 eight, venue or venues for the hearings. But before we
- 24 make some short submissions about that topic, it's worth
- 25 noting that unlike most forms of legal proceedings,

- 1 there are multiple ways in which the public are able to
- 2 engage with the Omagh Bombing Inquiry, and indeed just
- 3 a moment ago I mentioned some of those.
- 4 Of course people may attend the hearing in person,
- 5 as many have felt able to do so today. But they may
- 6 also attend and watch in an overflow court or in
- 7 a remote location where there is support, such as the
- 8 WAVE Trauma Centre, and some people may choose to watch
- 9 the live stream of the proceedings on YouTube at home or
- in some other comfortable location. So this is not the
- 11 type of proceeding where you have to come to the hearing
- 12 room and, if you don't, you cannot have access to what
- 13 is happening.
- 14 As for a physical venue, today of course we're
- 15 here in Omagh. It was appropriate that the first
- 16 hearing of this Inquiry should take place here, just as
- it's appropriate that the commemorative and personal
- 18 statement hearings in the new year should take place in
- 19 Omagh.
- We also consider that the closing statements of
- 21 the Inquiry should be heard by you here, sir. Beyond
- 22 that, no arrangement has yet been finalised for the
- 23 physical location or locations of the Inquiry. We
- recognise as, sir, we know you do too, the strong
- 25 interest of the bereaved families and survivors in the

- 1 location or locations being identified and being known.
- 2 The Inquiry team will keep all Core Participants updated
- 3 as its work on every new progresses, but it must be
- 4 understood that identifying a location or locations for
- 5 the various chapters of investigation by this Inquiry
- 6 will require the balancing of several factors.
- 7 So, sir, that is all we wish to say by way of
- 8 updates at this stage in this hearing, save to make
- 9 clear that we, the Inquiry team, will be remaining in
- 10 this building once the hearing finishes today at
- whatever time it finishes and if any family member or
- 12 survivor would like to speak to us, or any one of us, we
- 13 would welcome that, and that is because we are keen, as
- members of your team, that we are not seen to be distant
- 15 figures by that most closely concerned with it.
- 16 So that's all we wish to say at this stage.
- 17 LORD TURNBULL: Thank you very much indeed, Mr Greaney.
- 18 I am most grateful to you for those helpful remarks.
- 19 I now propose to call upon the Core Participant
- 20 representatives. For today's purposes, I plan to divide
- 21 the Core Participants into two groups. The first group
- 22 will comprise the representatives of the
- 23 Core Participants who are bereaved family members or
- 24 survivors. The second group will comprise the other
- 25 organisations and the individual who are designated as

- 1 Core Participants.
- 2 In each group I propose to invite addresses in
- 3 order of the seniority of the representatives appearing,
- 4 and I shall therefore commence by inviting Mr Mansfield
- 5 KC to make anaddress.
- 6 Opening remarks by MRMANSFIELD
- 7 MR MANSFIELD: Is that audible? I hope it.
- 8 LORD TURNBULL: It certainly is, Mr Mansfield.
- 9 MR MANSFIELD: May I thank you first of all for the
- 10 accommodation you've afforded to us by allowing us this
- position. I'm Michael Mansfield, as has already been
- mentioned, and I appear for the family of
- 13 Elizabeth Rush, whose name was read out a little
- 14 earlier, together with Nicola Rowntree, who sits to my
- right, and, on the left, Des Doherty of Elev8law.
- 16 Before I deal with the members of the family who
- are also here, may I just say this, that as far as the
- 18 representation is concerned, that is both myself and
- 19 Desmond Doherty, we have been involved with this tragedy
- and atrocity since very close to the time, 1999 onwards,
- 21 and in that context may I say and give an assurance to
- you that, as a result of that longevity, if I can put it
- 23 that way, there has been an accumulation of documents,
- 24 which, as you may understand, cover a lot of the topics
- 25 that have been covered this morning, and Mr Doherty

- 1 wishes it to be known that he's more than prepared to
- 2 collaborate and provide as much as is wanted by this
- 3 Inquiry.
- 4 The members of the family who are here today are
- 5 sitting to my right, and they are Anthony, the son, and
- 6 also the daughter, Siobhan. There is a third, Andrew,
- 7 and he is not here but he is following on a link.
- 8 We don't intend today to make any submissions on
- 9 any of the other formal topics that have been raised, in
- 10 the sense we adopt what has already been said by
- 11 Mr Greaney. It's premature for developed argument and
- 12 we're not going to take up time. But we have drafted
- 13 a very small statement, if I can put it in those words,
- on behalf of the family, because of the unique situation
- 15 that they have.
- 16 The opening of this Inquiry today makes
- 17 a particularly special occasion for the families and
- 18 friends of the deceased, as well as the many who were
- 19 injured. The search for truth and accountability has
- 20 been unrelenting and this initiative is warmly welcomed.
- 21 For the Rush family, however, it has a special
- 22 resonance. Elizabeth, otherwise known as Libby, whilst
- 23 attending to her daily business in her main street shop
- in Market Street, bore the full thrust of the blast.
- 25 The die was cast. For her husband, Laurence, and her

- 1 family there would be no stone unturned and no authority
- 2 unchallenged until both the perpetrators and other
- 3 participants in there is atrocity were unmasked, and
- 4 their iniquitous actions laid bare.
- 5 There were extremely uncomfortable but obvious
- 6 questions to be asked -- some of those have already been
- 7 traversed this morning -- about how such a devastating
- 8 attack had come about. What was the state of
- 9 information and intelligence? Who compiled it, both
- 10 inside and outside this jurisdiction? How was it
- shared? What preparations had been made? Essentially,
- 12 could it have been prevented? All core issues which are
- 13 now at the heart of this Inquiry.
- 14 At the time -- in other words, I've already
- 15 indicated, very near the time of the atrocity itself --
- 16 however, this quest for truth and accountability -- in
- 17 this quest Laurence Rush stood alone, steadfast,
- 18 undaunted and undeterred, even though by obvious
- 19 questions, by the barbs of criticism and outright
- 20 hostility he faced in private, in public and in the
- 21 courtroom. That's a reference to the inquest.
- 22 It was not until the publication of the O'Loan --
- 23 ombudsman, that is -- report in December 2001,
- 24 three years after the bombing, that the singular
- 25 position of the Rush family was finally accorded the

- 1 recognition it deserved.
- 2 Thereafter, on 17 July 2002, Laurence Rush
- 3 commenced legal proceedings against the police and the
- 4 Secretary of State for Northern Ireland, which are an
- 5 integral part of this process which has culminated in
- 6 this Inquiry.
- 7 Unfortunately, Laurence passed away on
- 8 4 March 2012, but his legacy is the Inquiry's very
- 9 existence, and it is both a vindication of his
- 10 unswerving belief in truth and, equally, a tribute to
- 11 his unremitting personal courage.
- 12 Thank you.
- 13 LORD TURNBULL: Thank you, Mr Mansfield.
- 14 I will now call upon Mr Kane KC.
- 15 Opening remarks by MRKANE
- 16 MR KANE: Thank you very much, sir, for this opportunity to
- 17 make some brief opening remarks.
- 18 I appear with Ms Beth McMullan, sitting here to my
- 19 right, instructed by John McBurney Solicitors seated to
- 20 my left, on behalf of the following Core Participants
- 21 whose loved ones were murdered by the Real IRA: Edwin
- 22 (known as Eddie) Cartwright and Stephen Cartwright, in
- 23 respect of Deborah-Anne Cartwright; Mandy Walker in
- 24 respect of Olive Hawkes; Helen Hughes and Richard Hughes
- 25 in respect of Julia Hughes; Kevin Skelton,

- 1 Tracey Skelton, Paula Huntley, Shauna Murphy and
- 2 Roy Skelton in respect of Philomena Skelton;
- 3 Gerald McFarland and Gerald McFarland in respect of
- 4 Samantha McFarland; Marion Radford, Claire Radford,
- 5 Paul Radford, Elaine O'Reilly, Carol Radford,
- 6 Lynne Mullen and Heather Lagan Radford in respect of
- 7 Alan Radford; and Ann Wilson, Denise Kerrigan,
- 8 Gary Wilson and Colin Wilson in respect of
- 9 Lorraine Wilson.
- 10 I also appear on behalf of the following
- 11 Core Participants who survived but were injured by the
- 12 Real IRA on that occasion: Lorraine Anderson;
- 13 Wray Campion, Wendy Graham, Rosemary Ingram,
- 14 Stephen McKervey, Alan Palmer, Jim Sharkey and
- 15 Robert Watson.
- 16 My clients welcome this Inquiry. They assure it,
- 17 sir, of their co-operation and assistance, and look
- 18 forward to the challenging and lengthy work ahead on the
- 19 issue of preventability.
- They wish you, sir, and your Inquiry team well in
- 21 your endeavours.
- However, in short form they wish to place on
- 23 record their succinct views that, firstly, it was the
- 24 Real IRA who were responsible for their loss and ongoing
- 25 hurt when they planted the Omagh bomb and who have never

- 1 been made amenable.
- 2 Secondly, they do not wish to include in the issue
- 3 of preventability those members of the RUC who on the
- 4 day at the scene followed orders and used their
- 5 initiative to try to make safe the area of the bomb in
- 6 the context of false information and who thereafter
- 7 valiantly fought to save lives at the scene.
- 8 Thirdly, they are of the clear belief that,
- 9 whatever aspects of preventability may lie at the door
- 10 of UK authorities which are subject to the scope and
- 11 powers of this Inquiry, blame to a greater or lesser
- 12 extent may well rest with the authorities of the
- 13 Republic of Ireland. They wish to state that if by
- 14 reason of non-cooperation and reliance on constitutional
- or jurisdictional obstructions preventability cannot be
- 16 attributed to authorities south of the border, then
- 17 their hope is that at least the work of this Inquiry, in
- 18 the absence of formal blame, will cause eternal shame to
- 19 be established.
- 20 Fourthly, they are greatly disappointed at the
- 21 lack of any meaningful commitment on the part of the
- 22 Republic of Ireland to establish a parallel inquiry and
- 23 thus far to tangibly assist this Inquiry. There is
- 24 little human value in advocating for the rights of
- 25 peoples around the world while ignoring the Inquiry set

- 1 up into the deaths of many who would be entitled to
- 2 Irish citizenship under their laws.
- 3 Finally, they trust that the light of justice will
- 4 eventually shine into the darkness of the evil
- 5 perpetrated so many years ago on that dark day.
- 6 Thank you, sir.
- 7 LORD TURNBULL: Thank you, Mr Kane. Today is not the day
- 8 for detailed discussion of any of the matters which
- 9 you've addressed in your helpful remarks, but perhaps it
- 10 would be sufficient if I invite you and the Core
- 11 Participants whom you represent to bear in mind
- 12 Mr Greaney's suggestion that we all listen with great
- 13 care to the remarks which the Director General may offer
- 14 us in due course.
- 15 I shall now call upon Mr Southey KC.
- 16 Opening remarks by MRSOUTHEY
- 17 MR SOUTHEY: Thank you, sir, for hearing from me today.
- 18 I am Hugh Southey KC for those who don't know me.
- 19 I appear in this matter with my learned junior, Mr Eamon
- 20 Foster, and I'm instructed by John Fox of Fox Law. We
- 21 between us represent a wide range of victims, survivors
- 22 and families affected by the atrocity in Omagh that
- 23 occurred on 15 August 1998. I am in a moment going to
- 24 introduce the victims we represent. In doing that, it
- 25 will perhaps become clear to some extent the scale of

- 1 the loss that has been experienced by the victims,
- 2 survivors and families I represent.
- 3 That is not in any way to seek to duplicate,
- 4 obviously, the work that will occur when the memorial
- 5 hearing takes place next year, which will set out in
- 6 much greater detail the impact.
- 7 Michael Gallagher attends this preliminary hearing
- 8 with his wife Patsy, his daughter Cat, Cat's husband
- 9 David, and his grandchildren, Fynn and Fara.
- 10 Aidan Gallagher, Michael and Patsy's son and Cat's
- 11 brother, was 21 when he was killed during the bombing.
- 12 Edith White attends today. Edith's husband, Fred,
- and Edith's son Brian were killed by the bomb. Edith is
- 14 here today with her daughter Linda, Linda's husband,
- 15 Stephen, and Edith's grandchildren, Rachel and Bethany.
- 16 Stanley McCombe attends today with his son Clive.
- 17 Another son, Colan, will be watching online. They lost
- a wife and mother, Ann, who was killed by the bomb.
- 19 On the day of the bombing Oran Doherty, who was
- 20 eight years old, and Sean McLaughlin, who was 12, were
- 21 in Omagh together and were killed. They came from
- 22 Buncrana in County Donegal. Oran's parents, Michael and
- 23 Bernadette, and Oran's sister Lisa attend today.
- 24 Patricia McLaughlin is attending online on behalf of the
- 25 McLaughlin family.

- 1 The two boys were in Omagh along with their friend
- 2 James Barker, aged 12, an English schoolboy. He was
- 3 also killed. James' father, Victor Barker, will be
- 4 following the proceedings online today.
- 5 Ronan McGrory, who was also from Buncrana, was in
- 6 Omagh town on the day of the bombing, along with the
- 7 three boys that were killed. Ronan survived the bomb
- 8 and intends to watch online.
- 9 Michael Monaghan attends today, along with his son
- 10 Patrick. Avril Monaghan, Michael's wife and Patrick's
- 11 mother, was killed by the bomb. Avril was in town with
- 12 her daughter, Maura, who was 18 months old and was
- 13 killed alongside her mother. Avril was pregnant with
- 14 twins.
- 15 Avril and Maura were in town with Avril's mother,
- 16 Mary Grimes. Mary was also killed that day. It was
- 17 Mary's 66th birthday. Mary's son Patrick attends today.
- 18 Rocio Abad Ramos was 23 years old. She was killed
- 19 while leading a group of exchange students during their
- 20 time in Ireland. Rocio was from Madrid, Spain. Rocio's
- 21 parents and her sisters, Paloma and Ana, will be
- 22 following proceedings online today.
- Jolene Marlow, Brian McCrory, Sean McGrath,
- 24 Geraldine Breslin and Esther Gibson were also killed.
- 25 Their families will all be following the outcome of

- 1 today's preliminary hearing and the progress of the
- 2 Inquiry.
- 3 Chris Kelly and her daughter Susanne Travis attend
- 4 online. They were in Omagh on the day of the bomb and
- 5 suffered life-changing injuries.
- 6 Giles McCourt, who also views online, was also in
- 7 town that day and suffered life-changing injuries, as
- 8 did Donna Marie McGillion and her husband,
- 9 Garry McGillion.
- 10 James McGlinn, Michelle McCullagh,
- 11 Sandy Marcus-Smith and Nikki Lucas, sister of
- 12 Jolene Marlow who was killed that day, they will all be
- 13 following the outcome of today's preliminary hearing and
- 14 the progress of the inquiry.
- 15 This provides a brief introduction to the
- 16 families, victims and survivors who Fox Law represents.
- 17 Our clients include a number of people who have
- 18 tirelessly supported and campaigned for this public
- 19 inquiry. They include Michael Gallagher, the applicant
- 20 who brought the judicial review that led to this
- 21 inquiry. The legal team is the same as for the judicial
- 22 review. As a consequence, it will not surprise anyone
- 23 that we will welcome the Inquiry and will seek to ensure
- 24 that it is as effective as possible and gets to the
- 25 truth.

- 1 Our clients, all being Core Participants, can
- 2 ensure the Inquiry that they will do whatever they can
- 3 to assist the Inquiry to be as effective as possible.
- 4 We recognise that today is not the day for
- 5 submissions. The Inquiry has already received written
- 6 submissions from the Core Participants I represent.
- 7 However, given who we represent and the role that
- 8 Mr Gallagher in particular played in the judicial
- 9 review, it will perhaps be obvious that there are two
- 10 particular procedural issues that we will seek to raise
- 11 going forward.
- 12 The first is the need for an effective review of
- 13 closed material, with the interests of victims being
- 14 properly represented during that review.
- 15 The second issue is the importance of state bodies
- in both the United Kingdom and Ireland participating
- 17 fully.
- 18 We do appreciate the commitment of the
- 19 Irish Government and will listen with interest to what
- 20 it has to say today, but we do have a concern that
- 21 questions will remain unanswered without a parallel
- 22 inquiry.
- 23 The victims, survivors and families I represent
- 24 are encouraged by the progress that has been made to
- 25 date but, as we approach the 26th anniversary, our

- 1 clients are committed to ensuring that the Inquiry acts
- 2 promptly and diligently in determining if the Omagh bomb
- 3 could have been prevented.
- 4 To echo the words of you, sir, in your video
- 5 address following the publication of the
- 6 Terms of Reference, the bereaved families, survivors and
- 7 community of the town of Omagh deserve no less.
- 8 Thank you.
- 9 LORD TURNBULL: Thank you, Mr Southey.
- 10 I shall bear in mind the observations which you
- 11 helpfully set out in your written submissions, and
- 12 I shall keep them in mind as the Inquiry moves forward.
- Perhaps it might be worth me just responding very
- briefly to two of the issues which you've touched upon.
- 15 As you recognise in your written submissions, the
- 16 question of whether special advocates can or should be
- 17 appointed is a question which will crystallise at
- 18 a later date and it's therefore probably of little value
- in saying much more about that at this point.
- 20 I also note in your written submissions a similar
- 21 suggestion to that mentioned by Mr Kane, that there
- 22 should be some form of parallel inquiry in the
- 23 Republic of Ireland, and your submission is that I might
- 24 consider making a request of the Government of Ireland
- 25 to establish such an inquiry.

- 1 The suggestion, of course, originates in the
- 2 decision issued by now Lord Justice Horner in
- 3 Mr Gallagher's judicial review. Of course, I'm familiar
- 4 with the terms of that decision and with the careful and
- 5 considered manner in Lord Justice Horner set out both
- 6 his conclusions and his reasoning; However, it cannot be
- 7 the case that I am bound by his view as to the
- 8 desirability or the practicality of parallel inquiries
- 9 taking place in different jurisdictions.
- 10 The suggestion of parallel inquiries raises
- 11 a number of very obvious concerns. For example, which
- 12 process would have the authority to hear which evidence?
- 13 It could hardly be suggested that an inquiry operating
- 14 in each jurisdiction would have the authority to
- 15 adjudicate upon the same evidence.
- 16 Equally, if witnesses were to give evidence in
- 17 each inquiry in relation to different matters, that
- 18 would raise the spectre of the same witness being found
- 19 to be unreliable or untruthful by one Chair and entirely
- 20 truthful and reliable by another.
- 21 Finally, in this short summary, one would have to
- 22 ask what the priority of findings would be. Would I, in
- 23 this Inquiry into the question of whether there were
- reasonable steps which could have been taken by the UK
- 25 state authorities to prevent the bombing, be bound to

- 1 implement findings made in another jurisdiction in the
- 2 course of an inquiry in which I played no part?
- 3 No doubt, these were all questions which were
- 4 taken into account by Lord Justice Horner, although it's
- 5 fair to note that he offers no suggestion as to how any
- 6 of them might be avoided or managed. But it seems to me
- 7 that that just goes to demonstrate that I must be
- 8 entitled to form my own view as to what procedures will
- 9 best allow an informed understanding of the matters
- 10 which bear upon the question of whether the bombing
- 11 could have been prevented.
- 12 In that regard, I will have the benefit of the
- 13 stated commitment from the Government of Ireland to
- 14 provide assistance to this Inquiry. That is a highly
- 15 relevant consideration, which was simply unknown to
- 16 Lord Justice Horner at the time of his decision. Even,
- 17 of course, if I were to think that a parallel inquiry
- 18 was an attractive proposition, I would not, of course,
- 19 have the power to require it.
- 20 Your suggestion, of course, is different and it is
- 21 that I should merely make a request of this sort to the
- 22 Government of Ireland. But even acceding to that
- 23 suggestion would raise many complex issues of the sort
- 24 to which I've alluded, all which would require to be
- 25 thought through in advance.

- 1 So today is not the day, nor does it provide us
- 2 with the opportunity to consider in detail those
- 3 matters, and it's certainly not the time to make any
- 4 substantive decision of that sort. However, I will bear
- 5 in mind Mr Kane's observations and the suggestion which
- 6 you've made in your written submissions and, should
- 7 matters develop differently from the ways in which
- 8 I expect them to, I will be prepared to return to your
- 9 suggestion and to explore it in a more focused
- 10 discussion at a future date.
- 11 I shall now ask Mr Skelt KC to present any
- 12 submissions that he may wish to.
- 13 MR GREANEY: Sir, I think before we hear from Mr Skelt you
- 14 intended to give Mr McGuckin an opportunity to address
- 15 you on behalf of those that he represents --
- 16 LORD TURNBULL: I'm so sorry.
- 17 MR GREANEY: -- the first category of Core Participants.
- 18 LORD TURNBULL: You're quite right. I'm sorry, Mr McGuckin.
- 19 Opening remarks by MR McGUCKIN
- 20 MR McGUCKIN: Not at all.
- 21 Firstly, my name is Karl McGuckin and I appear
- 22 instructed by three separate firms today, Logan & Corry
- 23 Solicitors, Campbell & Haughey Solicitors, and Roche
- 24 McBride Solicitors, on behalf of both bereaved families
- 25 and seriously injured survivors.

- 1 I appear led by Mr Stephen Toal KC, who
- 2 unavoidably could not attend and passes his apologies to
- 3 the Inquiry through metoday.
- 4 LORD TURNBULL: Thank you.
- 5 MR McGUCKIN: On behalf of Logan & Corry Solicitors, sir,
- 6 I appear on behalf of the bereaved family of
- 7 Gareth Conway, who was only 17 years when his life was
- 8 taken.
- 9 I also appear on behalf of the bereaved family of
- 10 Veda Short, who was 56 at the time of her death.
- 11 By that solicitor firm I also appear on behalf of
- 12 Niamh McKinney and her mother, Caroline McKinney, who
- were both seriously injured in the bomb.
- 14 On behalf of Campbell & Haughey Solicitors,
- 15 I appear instructed on behalf of the bereaved family of
- 16 Fernando Baselga, who was only 12 years at the time of
- 17 his death, and the bereaved family of Brenda Logue, who
- 18 was only 17.
- 19 I also appear on behalf of the seriously injured
- 20 survivors Laura Hamilton and Nicola Jane Hamilton, and
- 21 Pauline Harte, who was also seriously injured and
- 22 attends today.
- 23 On behalf of Roche McBride Solicitors, I appear
- 24 instructed on behalf of the bereaved parents of the
- 25 infant Breda Devine, who was only 20 months at the time

- 1 of her death.
- 2 We wish to say collectively, sir, that firstly we
- 3 are very grateful to you and your Inquiry team for the
- 4 substantial work that has already been undertaken. We
- 5 have no formal submissions to make at this stage, save
- 6 to say that on behalf of all the Core Participants that
- 7 we represent that we and they look forward to positively
- 8 engaging with you and your team with a view to ensuring
- 9 the communal overarching outcome, that being the
- 10 unvarnished truth of this atrocity.
- 11 Thank you.
- 12 LORD TURNBULL: Thank you for those remarks, Mr McGuckin.
- 13 Mr Skelt.
- 14 Opening remarks by MRSKELT
- 15 MR SKELT: Thank you. I, together with
- 16 Mr Andrew McGuinness, who sits to my immediate right,
- 17 instructed by Mr Stephen Clarke of MTB Solicitors, who
- 18 sits to my far left, appear in this Inquiry to represent
- 19 Sir Ronnie Flanagan, who, as you, sir, know, is the
- 20 former Chief Constable on the RUC and then PSNI.
- 21 As has already been said by many, today is not the
- 22 day for formal submissions, so at this stage all we
- 23 would wish to do is to thank you and your team for the
- very helpful detailed introduction and various documents
- and notifications that have been supplied thus far, but

- 1 we have at this stage nothing further that we can
- 2 usefully say other than to echo what's been already said
- 3 in our Core Participant application: that Sir Ronnie
- 4 intends an entirely positive engagement with this
- 5 Inquiry throughout its entire length.
- 6 Thank you.
- 7 LORD TURNBULL: Thank you, Mr Skelt.
- 8 I shall now call upon Mr Henry KC.
- 9 Opening remarks by MRHENRY
- 10 MR HENRY: Thank you, sir. I'll just make some very brief
- 11 introductory remarks.
- 12 My name is Philip Henry, and in this Inquiry I act
- on behalf of the Police Service of Northern Ireland.
- 14 I'm assisted by Ms Marie Claire McDermott to my
- 15 left-hand side and also by Mr Thompson to my right-hand
- 16 side, junior counsel.
- We are instructed by the PSNI's recognised legal
- 18 representative, Ms Severina Kelly, who is also within
- 19 the body of the proceedings as well.
- The first thing that I'd like to do on behalf of
- 21 the PSNI this afternoon, and also on behalf of those who
- 22 represent the PSNI, is to offer our sincere condolences
- 23 to all of those who lost loved ones as a result of the
- 24 Omagh bomb.
- 25 Sir, it is very difficult to find the appropriate

- 1 words to use when attempting or when tasked to speak
- 2 about an atrocity of the scale of that which happened in
- 3 Omagh on 15 August 1998. Those words or any words used
- 4 seem inadequate when describing something which involved
- 5 such loss of life, so many people being injured, and
- 6 affecting so many people's lives in so many ways, but
- 7 it's against that background, sir, that I make the
- 8 following brief observations in relation to or on behalf
- 9 of the PSNI.
- 10 Firstly, it recognises the importance -- indeed
- 11 the great importance -- of the Inquiry and the difficult
- job that it has ahead of it and, secondly, again on
- 13 behalf of the PSNI, it would like to state its intention
- to co-operate and assist as best it can with that
- 15 difficult role. We hope, sir, that the constructive way
- 16 that we have engaged with your team to date and will
- 17 continue to do so is evidence of that intention.
- 18 So thank you very much, sir.
- 19 LORD TURNBULL: Thank you, Mr Henry.
- 20 I shall now call upon Ms Fee KC.
- 21 Opening remarks by MS FEE
- 22 MS FEE: Thank you, sir.
- 23 My name is Fiona Fee and I act in this Inquiry on
- 24 behalf of His Majesty's Government, one of the
- 25 Core Participants in the Inquiry. I'm assisted by

- 1 junior counsel, David Reid, who sits to my right,
- 2 Leona Gillen and Andrew McKibben who are also present
- 3 today.
- 4 His Majesty's Government in this context includes
- 5 the UK intelligence community, the Ministry of Defence,
- 6 the Northern Ireland Office, the Cabinet Office and the
- 7 Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office. Each of
- 8 those organisations has engaged with the Inquiry and we
- 9 welcome the opportunity to continue those interactions
- 10 to assist the Inquiry with its important work.
- 11 This preliminary hearing represents a significant
- 12 milestone in the progress of the Inquiry, and I want to
- 13 convey the Government's sincere condolences to all
- 14 those, including all those in the room today, who have
- 15 suffered as a result of this horrific terrorist
- 16 atrocity.
- 17 Thank you.
- 18 LORD TURNBULL: Thank you, Ms Fee.
- 19 I now call upon Mr McKay.
- 20 Opening remarks by MRMcKAY
- 21 MR McKAY: Good afternoon, (unclear), and beyond saying that
- we remain committed to assisting the Inquiry in any way
- 23 we can, I have no further submissions to make.
- 24 LORD TURNBULL: Thank you.
- 25 Having heard from each of the Core Participants,

- 1 I shall now invite Director General De Burca to offer
- 2 a statement on behalf of the Government of Ireland.
- 3 Opening remarks by MRDE BURCA
- 4 MR DE BURCA: Thank you, Chair.
- 5 I'm here today on behalf of the Government of
- 6 Ireland to signal in the clearest terms our commitment
- 7 to facilitating and supporting the work of this Inquiry.
- 8 We welcome the opportunity to make a statement at this
- 9 opening session.
- 10 Having engaged closely with your team, Chair, in
- 11 the months leading up to today, it is important that the
- 12 Government of Ireland is represented here.
- 13 The Government of Ireland, at the most senior
- 14 level, recognises the importance of this inquiry for
- victims and survivors of the heinous attack that took
- 16 place in Omagh on 15 August 1998. Thank you, on the
- 17 Government's behalf, for the invitation.
- 18 Hearing out loud the names of those who lives were
- 19 taken here in Omagh that terrible August day, reminds us
- 20 all of the human cost of that brutal terrorist attack.
- 21 The Government of Ireland recognises the enormous loss
- 22 suffered by their families and the enduring toll on all
- 23 those injured. They -- you -- are at the centre of
- those endeavours as the Inquiry takes forward its work.
- 25 15 August 1998 is engraved on the collective

- 1 consciousness of the people of these islands. At a time
- 2 of collective hope, it was a senseless and callous act
- 3 of terror that sought to undermine the peace that had
- 4 been secured only a few months earlier. We remember the
- 5 toll of lives lost and lives changed forever. We recall
- 6 the dignity and bravery of the families who have worked
- 7 tirelessly as advocates ever since.
- 8 As this Inquiry begins its work, it is important
- 9 to place on record, on this its first day, the
- 10 Government of Ireland's condemnation and abhorrence of
- 11 the criminal actions of those who planned this attack
- 12 and planted and detonated the bomb.
- 13 In June last year, the Tánaiste and Minister for
- 14 Foreign Affairs, Micheál Martin TD, and the Minister for
- 15 Justice, Helen McEntee TD, met with a number of the
- 16 family members and heard first-hand how the events of
- 17 15 August 1998 has affected their lives. They listened
- 18 as family shared how the Government of Ireland could
- 19 assist them in getting answers.
- This Inquiry is an opportunity to explore one of
- 21 the fundamental questions that families have asked,
- 22 namely around the preventability of that attack.
- 23 The unwavering courage and dignity that the
- 24 families have shown throughout their long quest for
- 25 truth, justice and accountability is commendable.

- 1 I want to make particular mention of
- 2 Mr Michael Gallagher, as the judgment in the case he
- 3 brought today gave rise to this Inquiry that we are at
- 4 today.
- 5 Engaging with the Inquiry is an opportunity for
- 6 the Government of Ireland to demonstrate our commitment
- 7 to meeting the needs of victims and survivors as part of
- 8 the necessary work of reconciling the painful legacy of
- 9 the past. The Government's position has always been
- 10 that we must adequately address the past if we want to
- 11 achieve genuine reconciliation for the future. Today,
- 12 we want to assure the families and survivors of the
- 13 Omagh Bombing unequivocally of that commitment to fully
- 14 assist the Inquiry.
- 15 Earlier this month, the Government of Ireland made
- 16 the formal decision to assist the Omagh Bombing Inquiry
- 17 and accepted the invitation of the Chairman to attend
- 18 this preliminary hearing in Omaghtoday.
- 19 The purpose of my statement today is to assure
- 20 families that Government officials, including officials
- 21 of the Department of Foreign Affairs and the Department
- 22 of Justice, as well as Garda Síochána, have been
- 23 engaging, as we have heard, with the Inquiry team since
- 24 his appointment last November. That good-faith
- 25 engagement will help determine how the Irish state can

- 1 assist the Inquiry.
- 2 Notwithstanding the particular complexities in
- 3 assisting any inquiry established under the law of
- 4 another sovereign jurisdiction, including the
- 5 appropriateness of a sovereign state joining as
- 6 a Core Participant, the Government of Ireland is
- 7 determined, together with the Inquiry team, to establish
- 8 a bespoke mechanism to guide our engagement and to
- 9 assist the Inquiry. Our commitment is to ensure that
- there is nothing left unanswered in our jurisdiction at
- 11 the end of this Inquiry.
- 12 It will be important to ensure the arrangements
- 13 underpinning our engagement are legally robust, and the
- 14 Government of Ireland is committed to putting in place
- 15 their most effective possible arrangements to help the
- 16 Inquiry's work. These will be consistent with the
- 17 Inquiry's own Terms of Reference and with Irish law, in
- 18 particular with regard to the constitutional and ECHR
- 19 rights of persons.
- This follows on from actions taken by the Irish
- 21 Government and its predecessors over the years to
- 22 support the families of the victims. These include
- 23 assisting the Northern Ireland courts during the civil
- 24 proceedings taken by the Omagh families, and the
- 25 enactment of primary and secondary legislation through

- 1 the Houses of the Oireachtas to support cross-border
- 2 co-operation with UK authorities.
- 3 We will do everything in our power to ensure the
- 4 spirit of co-operation endures. This will be grounded
- 5 in law to support concrete action. The Government is
- 6 committed to put in place additional new legislation, if
- 7 that is what is required, to support our assistance to
- 8 the Inquiry. These important details are being worked
- 9 through with the Inquiry team, to ensure our engagement
- 10 proceeds on the strongest possible footing.
- 11 26 years on from that day in August, the malice of
- 12 those who perpetrated that terrible attack is a reminder
- 13 that many have tried and failed to deny peace and
- 14 democracy on this island. Instead, efforts were
- 15 galvanised to move the dial of progress in the direction
- of peace and reconciliation. Such efforts continue to
- 17 this day.
- 18 Chair, we all know the families have waited a long
- 19 time and have worked for a long time for this Inquiry.
- 20 The needs of the victims' families, the survivors and
- 21 the wider community in Omagh will guide our approach.
- We will work to ensure that our deeds match our words in
- 23 respect of this Inquiry.
- 24 Thank you.
- 25 LORD TURNBULL: Thank you, Director General. I'm most

grateful to you for those comments and to the Government		
of Ireland for its offer of support.		
That concludes other proceedings for this, the		
first hearing of the Independent Public Inquiry		
into the Omagh Bombing.		
6 (1.23 pm)		
(The Inquiry adjourned to a date to be fixed)		

INDEX

PAGE

Opening statement by LORD TURNBULL	1
Opening remarks by MR GREANEY	8
Opening remarks by MR MANSFIELD	74
Opening remarks by MR KANE	77
Opening remarks by MR SOUTHEY	80
Opening remarks by MR McGUCKIN	88
Opening remarks by MR SKELT	90
Opening remarks by MR HENRY	. 91
Opening remarks by MS FEE9	2
Opening remarks by MR McKAY	. 93
Opening remarks by MR DE BURCA	94