

Joint case building by the police and CPS – file examination data

Reference in report	Question	Possible answers	Volume	%
Para 5.11	Has the OIC changed since original allocation?	Yes	26	21.6%
		Yes twice	3	2.5%
		Yes, more than twice	5	4.2%
		Unclear if OIC remained the same throughout	2	1.7%
		No	84	70.0%
Para 5.19	Were the supervisor's comments supplied, including code test compliance and GAP/NGAP certification?	Yes	94	78.3%
		No	26	21.7%
Para 5.19	Did the supervisor's comments add value and provide an assurance that a thorough QA had been completed?	Yes	52	43.3%
		No	68	56.7%
Para 5.21	Was the file rejected by supervision before referral to the CPS?	Yes	28	23.3%
		Yes twice	11	9.2%
		Yes three or more times	7	5.8%
		No correctly accepted	28	23.3%
		No but should have been rejected	25	20.8%

		No, no supervision apparent	1	0.8%
		No other	6	5%
		Not known	13	10.8%
		NA	1	0.8%
Para 7.9 & 7.11	Was the CPS operational delivery triage correctly carried out on the first police file submission?	Yes correctly accepted the case	38	31.7%
		Yes correctly rejected the case	28	23.3%
		No triage incorrectly accepted the case	32	26.7%
		No triage incorrectly rejected the case	3	2.5%
		No triage conducted when required	2	1.6%
		NA	17	14.2%
Para 7.10	How many operational delivery triages took place prior to allocation?	None	19	15.8%
		One	68	56.7%
		Two	24	20%
		Three or more	9	7.5%
Para 7.59	Was the charging advice delivered within the target timescale set?	Yes	92	76.7%

		No	28	23.3%
Para 7.69	Did the CPS prosecutor use the DGA function on CMS to report on police compliance with DG6/NFS?	Yes at PCD	73	60.8%
		Yes at another stage	7	5.8%
		No did not use CMS	31	25.8%
		Yes at FCT application	2	1.7%
		Yes at initial review	1	0.8%
		Yes at post sending review	3	2.5%
Para 8.4	Did the prosecutor include their contact details on all pre-charge advices?	No other	2	1.7%
		No a generic group email or number was provided for one or more advice	1	0.8%
		No, no contact information provided on any advice	76	63.3%
		No some direct contact details were included but not on all the advices sent	8	6.7%

		Yes direct phone number only	8	6.7%
		Yes email and direct phone number	4	3.3%
		Yes email only	3	2.5%
		NA	18	15%

Action plans

Reference in report Para 7.28		Before final PCD		At final PCD		After PCD		Total	
Question	Answer	Volume	Percentage	Volume	Percentage	Volume	Percentage	Volume	Percentage
Did the CPS identify all necessary and proportionate actions in the action plans?	No one action missed	7	12.3%	15	16.9%	13	15.7%	35	15.3%
	No three or more actions missed	25	43.9%	37	41.6%	31	37.3%	93	40.6%
	No two actions missed	10	17.5%	14	15.7%	10	12.0%	34	14.8%
	Yes	15	26.3%	23	25.8%	29	34.9%	67	29.3%
	Total	57	100.0%	89	100.0%	83	100.0%	229	100.0%

Reference in report Para 7.36		Before final PCD		At final PCD		After PCD		Total	
Question	Answer	Volume	Percentage	Volume	Percentage	Volume	Percentage	Volume	Percentage
Were the action plans pre-PCD or at PCD set out in the specific action plan section of the MG3?	No	8	14.0%	12	13.3%			20	13.6%
	Yes	49	86.0%	78	86.7%			127	86.4%
	Total	57	100.0%	90	100.0%			147	100.0%

Reference in report Para 7.37		Before final PCD		At final PCD		After PCD		Total	
Question	Answer	Volume	Percentage	Volume	Percentage	Volume	Percentage	Volume	Percentage
Did the CPS ask in action plans for documents, evidence or other material that the police had already supplied?	No	49	86.0%	63	70.0%	59	70.2%	171	74.0%
	Yes	8	14.0%	27	30.0%	25	29.8%	60	26.0%
	Total	57	100.0%	90	100.0%	84	100.0%	231	100.0%

Reference in report Para 7.37		Before final PCD		At final PCD		After PCD		Total	
Question	Answer	Volume	Percentage	Volume	Percentage	Volume	Percentage	Volume	Percentage
Did the CPS ask in action plans for irrelevant or unnecessary documents, evidence or other material?	No	43	75.4%	74	82.2%	73	86.9%	190	82.3%
	Yes	14	24.6%	16	17.8%	11	13.1%	41	17.7%
	Total	57	100.0%	90	100.0%	84	100.0%	231	100.0%

Reference in report Para 7.41		Before final PCD		At final PCD		After PCD		Total	
Question	Answer	Volume	Percentage	Volume	Percentage	Volume	Percentage	Volume	Percentage
Was there a rationale set out in action plans for the requests being made?	No there was a rationale for some of the requests but not all	15	26.3%	34	39.1%	17	21.5%	66	29.6%
	No there was no rationale for any	5	8.8%	6	6.9%	7	8.9%	18	8.1%

	of the requests								
	Yes	37	64.9%	47	54.0%	55	69.6%	139	62.3%
	Total	57	100.0%	87	100.0%	79	100.0%	223	300.0%
Reference in report Footnote 65		Before final PCD		At final PCD		After PCD		Total	
Question	Answer	Volume	Percentage	Volume	Percentage	Volume	Percentage	Volume	Percentage
Were action plans clear?	No none of the requests were clear	4	7.0%	6	6.7%	6	7.1%	16	6.9%
	No some of the requests were clear	14	24.6%	39	43.3%	22	25.9%	75	32.3%
	Yes	39	68.4%	45	50.0%	57	67.1%	141	60.8%
	Total	57	100.0%	90	100.0%	85	100.0%	232	200.0%
Was there a rationale set out in action plans for the requests being made?	No there was a rationale for some of the requests but not all	15	26.3%	34	39.1%	17	21.5%	66	29.6%
	No there was no rationale	5	8.8%	6	6.9%	7	8.9%	18	8.1%

	for any of the requests								
	Yes	37	64.9%	47	54.0%	55	69.6%	139	62.3%
	Total	57	100.0%	87	100.0%	79	100.0%	223	300.0%

Reference in report Para 7.46 and footnote 66		Before final PCD		At final PCD		After PCD		Total	
Question	Answer	Volume	Percentage	Volume	Percentage	Volume	Percentage	Volume	Percentage
Did the police respond to all action plans?	No did not respond to any			21	24.1%	7	8.6%	28	12.5%
	No responded to some (not all)	5	8.9%			19	23.5%	24	10.7%
	Yes to all	51	91.1%	66	75.9%	55	67.9%	172	76.8%
	Total	56	100.0%	87	100.0%	81	100.0%	224	100.0%

Reference in report Para 7.50		Before final PCD		At final PCD		After PCD		Total	
Question	Answer	Volume	Percentage	Volume	Percentage	Volume	Percentage	Volume	Percentage
Did the prosecutor add a chaser task to the action	No chaser(s) set for action plans	38	66.7%	67	76.1%	36	42.4%	141	61.3%

plan(s) to ensure response monitoring?	Yes to all the action plans	4	7.0%			7	8.2%	11	4.8%
	Yes to some of the action plans	3	5.3%			28	32.9%	31	13.5%
	Yes to the only action plan	12	21.1%	21	23.9%	14	16.5%	47	20.4%
	Total	57	100.0%	88	100.0%	85	100.0%	230	100.0%

Reference in report Para 7.51		Before final PCD		At final PCD		After PCD		Total	
Question	Answer	Volume	Percentage	Volume	Percentage	Volume	Percentage	Volume	Percentage
Did the CPS need to use the escalation process for action plans?	No escalation not required	35	74.5%	33	40.7%	41	51.9%	109	52.7%
	No other	4	8.5%	2	2.5%	3	3.8%	9	4.3%
	Yes escalation process not used but should have been	6	12.8%	37	45.7%	27	34.2%	70	33.8%

	Yes escalation process used	2	4.3%	9	11.1%	8	10.1%	19	9.2%
	Total	47	100.0%	81	100.0%	79	100.0%	207	100.0%