

Witness Name: EMMA STONE

Statement No: WITN0006001

Dated: 05/10/2025

THE NOTTINGHAM INQUIRY

FIRST WITNESS STATEMENT OF PC EMMA STONE

I, EMMA STONE, will say as follows: -

1. My name is Emma Stone.
2. This witness statement is made to assist the Nottingham Inquiry (the **"Inquiry"**) with the matters set out in the Rule 9 Request dated 27th June 2025 (the **"Request"**).

My Background

3. My collar number is 3038.
4. Prior to joining the police I worked for Nottingham City Council Gyms on leaving school in various roles including but not limited to, swim teaching, reception, fitness advisor, lifeguard (and lifeguard trainer). I eventually became a shift manager before I left to join the police. Whilst working for the Council, I took a number of career breaks to travel and work abroad, doing basic job roles such as teaching English to orphans, or working at American summer camps. I joined Nottinghamshire Police as a Constable in September 2018.

5. Since joining I have been a Response officer, except for a short period in 2019 when I had an attachment to the Prisoner Handling Team at the Bridewell Custody Suite, where I was responsible for conducting interviews with suspects in police custody. Response officers are front line police officers who respond to emergency and non-emergency calls from the public. Response officers respond to incidents such as serious crime, public order situations, road traffic collisions, anti-social behaviour and suspicious incidents. They will also carry a workload of investigations from the incidents they have attended.
6. On joining Nottinghamshire Police in 2018, I had class based training for a period of months before commencing a 12 week Tutor period. During my initial training I received inputs on the legality around dealing with people with mental health issues. I attend Divisional Training days to receive training on new developments and also complete online e-learning packages.
7. Prior to 24th May 2020, I had no knowledge of, or interactions with, Valdo Calocane, by that or any other name, to the best of my recollection.

Events of 24th May 2020

8. I was still in my probationary service on this date. I have no recollection of the incident I attended on 24th May 2020 concerning Valdo Calocane. I have read the Incident Log for the incident 0011_24052020 (**URN: NGPF0000069**), the Occurrence Log relating to the matter (**URN: NGPF0000068**) and the Custody Record (**URN: NGPF0000077**).
9. On that evening, I would have been on duty as a Response officer between 10.00 pm until 7.00 am. I believe I was working as a double crew.

10. By reference to the Incident Log (**URN: NGPF0000069**) , I believe that I was alerted by the Force Control Room at approximately 10 minutes past midnight to an incident at Brook Court, 14 Player Street, Nottingham. The report was of a door being kicked in at an unoccupied flat in student accommodation.
11. I have had difficulty recollecting this incident. I am aware that PC Eustace arrested Calocane at the address, but only by reference to the Incident Log (**URN: NGPF0000069**). PC Leggett and I then transported Calocane to the Bridewell Custody Suite, Nottingham. I cannot recall any interaction with him.
12. From the records (**URN: NGPF0000077**) I can see that at about 00.40 am, we transported Calocane to the Bridewell Custody Suite in Nottingham. We arrived there at about 00.47 am and his detention was authorised by the Custody Sergeant.
13. At 2.23 am I attempted to call Liam **GRO-B**, who made the original call to the police, with a view to establishing what he had witnessed and to arrange to take a statement from him. I left a Voicemail message for him to call and arrange to give a witness statement about the incident.
14. At 2.50 am, I rang another witness, Conor **GRO-B** to arrange to take a statement from him and again left a message. I also contacted the maintenance office for the apartment block and established the property owner would not be available to provide a statement for a few days as it was a Bank Holiday.
15. I do not believe I had any other involvement in the case. Another officer took on the investigation: PC Collins.

16. The limited contact I had with Calocane did not raise any concerns with me about his mental health from memory.
17. I have been asked if details of the attendance and/or decisions or actions taken were communicated to: (1) Health, social services or multi-agency organisations; (2) Valdo Calocane's family; (3) Nottingham University; (4) others who might be affected by Valdo Calocane's actions. My answer is that the only organisation I tried to contact was the company which managed the building, in order to obtain details of the damage caused, as I was tasked with making some initial enquiries to assist the officer who would take over the investigation.
18. I have been asked if there were any barriers to the sharing of information with any of the above, or any other relevant individual, body or organisation. My response is that there were no barriers in relation to the information I shared.
19. I have been asked if there were any outstanding criminal matters at the time of my involvement in this matter. My response is that I had no knowledge of any outstanding criminal matters in respect of Calocane. If there had been any, they would normally have been flagged by the Force Control Room when dispatching us to an incident.
20. I have been asked if I had any involvement in any assessment of Valdo Calocane, what procedures were in place as to assessment of medical and mental health issues and drug testing, and provision of appropriate medical support. My answer is that I had no involvement in any assessment of Calocane. From reading the Custody Record, I can see that an assessment was requested while he was in custody, but I was not involved in that.

21. I have been asked to set out the relevant policies, procedures and criteria which I consider governed my role in relation to this incident and set out the ways in which I consider these were or were not met. My response is that there were no specific policies or procedures which governed my role, which was to escort Calocane to custody and then to make some initial witness enquiries.
22. I have been asked to set out any concerns that I have in respect of the police's actions in relation to this matter. My answer is that based on the content of the documents I have read, I have no concerns.
23. I have been asked to set out any concerns that I have in respect of the recording of this matter. My answer is that I have no concerns.
24. I have been asked if, looking back, I consider there are additional actions that I or others could have taken in respect of the incident. My answer is that I am hampered by the fact that I cannot remember the incident, but by reference to the Logs, Calocane was appropriately arrested for causing damage and an appropriate investigation then followed.
25. I have been asked if I consider there are any structural issues (regarding police policies, procedures, methodology, training etc.) which contributed to any issues identified. My response is that I have not identified any issues.
26. I have been asked if I have any recommendations I consider could help prevent any of the issues that you have identified in respect of this matter. My answer is that I have not identified any issues and so have no recommendations to make.

Statement of Truth

I believe the content of this statement to be true. I understand that proceedings may be brought against anyone who makes, or causes to be made, a false statement in a document verified by a statement of truth without an honest belief of its truth.

Signed:

GRO-B

Dated: 05/10/2025

Index to First Witness Statement of EMMA STONE

No.	URN	Document Description
1	NGPF0000069	Incident Details, 24/05/2020, Nottinghamshire Police
2	NGPF0000068	Occurrence Log
3	NGPF0000077	Custody Record of Valdo Calocane, dated 14/03/2025, Nottinghamshire Police