

Witness Name: Lisa Murray
Statement No: WITN0153002
Dated: 20th April 2026

THE NOTTINGHAM INQUIRY

SECOND WITNESS STATEMENT OF CHIEF INSPECTOR LISA MURRAY

I, LISA MURRAY, will say as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. I am Lisa Murray, and I am a member of Nottinghamshire Police currently serving in the rank of Chief Inspector.
2. I joined Nottinghamshire Police on 17th August 1995 and have now served over 30 years. On the 7th January 2026 I provided an initial witness statement to the **“Inquiry”** which details an overview of my career history.
3. This witness statement is made to assist the Nottingham Inquiry (**the “Inquiry”**) with the matters set out in the Rule 9 Request dated 10th April 2026 (**the “Request”**).
4. I have been asked to confirm:
 - a) if Valdo Calocane’s (VC) fingerprints were obtained by the police prior to 13 June 2023; and
 - b) If they were not, why they were not obtained during his previous interactions with the police; and

- c) To what extent, if any, was other information (e.g. DNA etc) taken from VC which would have assisted any subsequent identification of him prior to 13 June 2023.
5. I can confirm by reference to Custody Record C20024405, which I now refer to as [NGPF0000077] that VC was arrested for an offence of Criminal Damage on the 24th May 2020 at 0020 hours.
 6. Within the care plan generated by the Custody Sergeant, there is a reference to the fact that this was VC's first time in Custody, which is supported by a detention log entry (DLE) at 0103 hours.
 7. This was further evidenced when VC was taken to obtain Biometric data, namely: fingerprints, DNA, and a photograph.
 8. I can confirm from the Biometrics tab within the Niche Custody record that the Biometric process commenced at 0122 hours, following which VC had fingerprints, photograph and DNA sample taken.
 9. VC had his fingerprints taken on the Livescan fingerprint machine, which forms part of the national Ident 1 System. Once the fingerprints appear on this system, they are processed and a Criminal Records Office (CRO) number will be returned if a match is found.
 10. In VC's case, there was no match found, and therefore no pre-existing CRO number. This corroborated that there was no previous arrest for a recordable offence and therefore supported the likelihood of it being his first time in Custody.
 11. In addition to the Niche Custody record, I have confirmed via the Forensic Biometric Unit that VC's fingerprints were obtained on this occasion. They were

obtained by Detention Officer Gooch and they are showing as available, which means they can be produced in evidence if required.

12. I have also been advised that they are showing on the Police National Computer (PNC) as confirmed and that a CRO number was generated as a result.

13. I have additionally checked Promaps, which is the system upon which VC's digital photograph is stored and can therefore confirm the taking of the photograph by DO Khiari at that time.

14. The Custody record also shows that DNA was obtained, barcode reference 34943963 refers.

15. Custody record C20024405 shows that VC was released in relation to the alleged Criminal Damage at 1918 hours on the 24th May 2020.

16. By reference to Custody record C20024552, which I now refer to as [NGPF0000095], I can confirm that VC was arrested for a second time that day (20th May 2020) at 2114 hours for a further alleged offence of Criminal Damage and brought back into Custody.

17. On this occasion he did not have his pre-charge Biometrics taken. DO Anthony Moore detailed in a detention log entry at 21:49 hours that the "DP (detained person) was released from custody earlier today and so is known to police, his identity is verified, and DNA was taken this morning."

18. There is also mention within this DLE that biometrics were being taken in line with Covid guidelines.

19. By reference to an email from the Departmental Chief Inspector, David Wilkes, dated 21st March 2020, it is my belief that in May 2020 a directive had been

given not to take biometrics if already taken. The email states “If fingerprint, image capture, and DNA (are) already in existence no need to take further samples (unless there is a necessity to do so). This applies whether detainee is symptomatic or not.” The image capture is terminology relating to the taking of a detainee’s photograph. I now refer to this email as [NGPF0011496].

STATEMENT OF TRUTH

I believe the content of this statement to be true. I understand that proceedings may be brought against anyone who makes, or causes to be made, a false statement in a document verified by a statement of truth without an honest belief of its truth.

Signed: **GRO-B**

Dated: 20th April 2026

Index to Witness Statement of CI Lisa Murray

No.	URN	Document Description
1.	NGPF0000077	Custody Record of Valdo Calocane, dated 14/03/2025, Nottinghamshire Police
2.	NGPF0000095	Custody Record of Valdo Calocane, dated 24/05/2020, Nottinghamshire Police Force
3.	NGPF0011496	FW COVID 19 Custody Contingency – Notts V2-2 – 200320.msg